



DUELEY KNOX LIBRARY NAVAL PCOTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA 93943







NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California



THESIS

AN IMPLEMENTATION IN PASCAL: TRANSLATION OF PROLOG INTO PASCAL

by

Ahmet Saraydin

June 1985

Thesis Advisor:

Neil C. Rowe

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

T226827



ECURITY	CLASSIFICATION	OF	THIS P	AGE	(When	Data	Entered)	
---------	----------------	----	--------	-----	-------	------	----------	--

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM			
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER			
An Implementation in Pascal: Translation of Prolog into Pascal	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Master's Thesis; June 1985			
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER			
7. AUTHOR(s)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)			
Ahmet Saraydin	-			
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5100	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS			
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE			
Naval Postgraduate School	June 1985			
Monterey, California 93943-5100	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 160			
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)			
	15. DECLASSIFICATION/ DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, If different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Logic programming, Translation, Backtracking and Relational Database.

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

This thesis tries to find a mapping algorithm between Prolog and Pascal languages. For this purpose, a small subset of Prolog is chosen and translated into Pascal code. Also, the concept of logic programming and its practical application in the programming language Prolog, are discussed. The reader is expected to be familiar with Pascal and Prolog. Keywords and phrases: Logic programming, Translation, Backtracking and Relational Database.

An Implementation in Pascal:
Translation of Prolog
into Pascal

by

Ahmet Saraydin Captain, Turkish Army Turkish Army War School, 1970

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL June 1985

ABSTRACT

This thesis tries to find a mapping algorithm between Prolog and Pascal languages. For this purpose, a small subset of Prolog is chosen and translated into Pascal code. Also, the concept of logic programming and its practical application in the programming language Prolog, are discussed. The reader is expected to be familiar with Pascal and Prolog. Keywords and phrases: Logic programming, Translation, Backtracking and Relational Database.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	PROLOGUE						
	A. PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES						
		1.	Conventional Programming Languages	7			
		2.	Software Crisis and Ada	7			
	В.	TOW	ARDS A SOLUTION: FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING	8			
	C.	THE	FIFTH GENERATION COMPUTER PROJECT	10			
		1.	Abstract Data Types (Encapsulation)	14			
		2.	Refined Higher-order Extensions	16			
		3.	Enough Preparation of Programming Tools	17			
		4.	High Level Data Structure	17			
		5.	Useful Functions for System Description	17			
		6.	The Others	18			
II.	EXP	RESS	ION OF RELATIONAL DATABASE QUERIES IN LOGIC	19			
	Α.	RELA	ATIONAL DATABASES	19			
	В.	QUE	RIES AND LOGIC	19			
III.	TRA	NSLA	TION OF A SUBSET OF PROLOG INTO PASCAL	27			
	Α.	PAS	CAL AS AN IMPLEMENTOR LANGUAGE	27			
	В.	PRO	LOG AND BACKTRACKING	28			
	C.	A SI	UBSET OF PROLOG (SPROLOG)	31			
	D.	DES	IGN	33			
	Ε.	MEM(ORY MANAGEMENT AND PROBLEMS	38			
IV.	IMP	LEME	NTATION AND TEST	47			
	Α.	SOM	E FEATURES OF PASCAL/VS AT NPS	47			
	В.	IMP:	LEMENTATION	47			

			, EFFICIENCY CONSIDERATIONS AND -CRITICISM	50
У.	EPIL	OGUE		52
APPE	ENDIX	A:	SOME FEATURES OF PASCAL/VS	56
APPE	ENDIX	B:	TRANSLATOR FOR SPROLOG	57
APPE	ENDIX	C:	OBJECT PROGRAM	103
APPE	ENDIX	D:	PROCEDURE TABLE	132
APPE	ENDIX	E:	PARAMETERS TABLE	133
APPE	ENDIX	F:	ALTERNATIVE CLAUSES TABLE	138
APPE	CNDIX	G:	SAMPLE PROGRAM 1	139
APPE	NDIX	Н:	OUTPUT OF PROGRAM 1	140
APPE	NDIX	I:	SAMPLE PROGRAM 2	141
APPE	NDIX	J:	OUTPUT OF PROGRAM 2	142
APPE	NDIX	K:	SAMPLE PROGRAM 3	145
APPE	NDIX	L:	OUTPUT OF PROGRAM 3	146
APPE	NDIX	М:	SAMPLE PROGRAM 4	147
APPE	NDIX	N:	OUTPUT OF PROGRAM 4	148
APPE	NDIX	0:	SAMPLE PROGRAM 5	152
APPE	NDIX	P:	OUTPUT OF PROGRAM 5	153
LIST	OF	REFEF	RENCES	157
BIBI	JOGRA	APHY		158
INIT	IAL I	DISTE	RIBUTION LIST	160

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	A Simple PRODUCTION SYSTEM Written in PROLOG	11
3.1	SPROLOG in BNF Form	32
3.2	Sample PROLOG Program	33
3.3	Procedure Table	35
3.4	Parameter Table	37
3.5	Alternative Clauses Table	38
3.6	Function POP	41
3.7	Function AREA	41
3.8	Function ACCEPT	42
3.9	Function Density	43
3.10	Function ANS	45
3.11	Function QUERY	45
3.12	Main Program	46
4.1	Main Driver for Parsing SPROLOG	49
5.1	Prolog Systems	52

I. PROLOGUE

A. PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

1. Conventional Programming Languages

"Conventional programming languages are growing ever more enormous, but not stronger. Inherent defects at the most basic level cause them to be both fat and weak: their primitive word-at-a-time style of programming inherited from their common ancestor, the von Neumann computer, their close coupling of semantics to state transitions, their division of programming into a world of expressions and a world of statements, their irability to effectively use powerful combining forms for building new programs from existing ones, and their lack of useful mathematical properties for reasoning about programs." [Ref. 1]

2. Software Crisis and Ada

It is virtually a cliche to say there is a software crisis. This crisis in software production is far greater than the situation of the early 50's that led to the development of high level languages to relieve the burden of coding. The symptoms appear in the form of software that is nonresponsive to user needs, unreliable, excessively expensive, untimely, inflexible, difficult to maintain, and not reusable. There are many ways to improve things a little and they are being tried. But to achieve a fundamental jump in our programming capacity, we need to rethink what we are doing from the beginning.

A programming language shapes the way we think about the solutions to our problems. Ideally, we desire a language that leads us to systems that map directly to the problem space and that helps us control the complexity of programming solutions. Is Ada such a language or is it born dead? It is time to listen to Hoare.

"I have been giving the best of my advice to this project since 1975. At first I was extremely hopeful. The original objectives of the language included reliability, readability of programs, formality of language definition, and even simplicity. Gradually these objectives have been sacrificed in favor of power, supposedly achieved by a plethora of features and notational conventions, many of them, like exception handling, even dangerous. We relive the history of the design of the motor car. Gadgets and glitter prevail over fundamental concerns of safety and economy.

And so, the best of my advice to the originators and designers of Ada has been ignored. I appeal to you, representatives of the programming profession in the United States, and citizens concerned with the welfare and safety of your own country and of mankind: Do not allow this language in its present state to be used in applications where reliability is critical, i.e., nuclear power stations, cruise missiles, early warning systems, anti-ballistic missile defense systems. The next rocket to go astray as result of a programming language error may not be an exploratory space rocket on a harmless trip to Venus: It may be a nuclear warhead exploding over one of our own cities." [Ref. 2]

B. TOWARDS A SOLUTION: FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING

Just as high level languages enabled the programmer to escape from the intricacies of a machine's order code, higher level programming systems can provide help in understanding and manipulating complex systems and components. We need to shift our attention away from the detailed specification of algorithms, towards the description of the properties of the packages and objects with which we build. A new generation of programming tools will be based on the attitude that what we say in a programming system should be primarily declarative,

not imperative. The fundamental use of a programming system is not in creating sequences of instructions for accomplishing tasks, but in expressing and manipulating descriptions of computational processes and the objects on which they are carried out.

An alternative functional style of programming is founded on the use of combining forms for creating programs. Functional programs deal with structured data, are often non-repetitive and nonrecursive, are hierarchically constructed, do not name their arguments, and do not require the complex machinery of procedure declarations to become generally applicable. Combining forms can use high level programs to build still high level ones in a style not possible in conventional languages. [Ref. 1]

"This style of programming, also known as applicative programming and value-oriented programming, is important for a number of reasons. First, functional programming dispenses with the ubiquitous assignment operation. As structured programming is often called 'goto-less programming.', so functional programming can be called 'assignment-less programming.'

The second reason that functional programming is important is that it encourages one to think at higher levels of abstraction. This is because functional programming provides a mechanism (functionals) for modifying the behavior of existing programs and for combining existing programs.

The third reason for the functional programming is that it provides a paradigm for programming large, parallel computers. As we begin to reach speed of light and other limitations on computer speed, we can expect to see computers that achieve higher speed by greater parallelism. Functional programming's absence of assignments, independence of evaluation order, and ability to operate on entire data structures provide paradigms for programming these machines.

The fourth reason is its applications in 'Artificial Intelligence' (AI). Currently most AI programming is done in LISP, a language which inspired much of the early work in functional programming. PROLOG is the newest AI programming language and has a central role in the Japanese Fifth Generation [FG] Computer Project, PROLOG is a functional programming language [See Figure 1.1 for a sample Prolog Program]. Further, since AI techniques are finding wider and wider applications, functional programming is important to more than just AI programmers: it is important to all programmers.

The fifth reason that functional programming is important is that it is valuable for developing executable specifications and prototype implementations. The simple underlying semantics and rigorous mathematical foundations of functional programming along with its high expressive ability make functional programming an ideal vehicle for specifying the intended behavior of programs. Functional programming can serve this function even if no functional programming language system is available to execute the program. However, if such a system is available then we have something very valuable: an executable specification. This can be used as a prototype implementation to determine if the specifications are correct, and as a benchmark against which later implementations can be compared. Thus, even if the reader never intends to write do functional programming, it can still be a valuable tool for the formulation, expression and evaluation of program specifications.

Finally, functional programming is important because of its connections to computer science theory. Functional programming provides a simpler framework for viewing many of the decidability questions of programming and computers than do the usual approaches." [Ref. 3]

C. THE FIFTH GENERATION COMPUTER PROJECT

In April 1982, Japan launched a research project to develop computer systems for the 1990's. The project, called the Fifth Generation computers project, will span 10 years. Its ultimate goal is to develop integrated systems, both hardware and software, suitable for the major computer application in the next decade, identified by the Japanese as

```
produce(X,Y,Z,U) :- prod1(X,Y,Z,U,[]).
hold ([ ],STM).
hold ([C|CL],STM) :- holdeach (C,STM),!,hold (CL,STM).
holdeach (absent (X), []).
holdeach (absent (X), [Fact|STM])
:- not (X = Fact), holdeach (absent (X), STM).
holdeach (X = Y, STM): - x = Y.
holdeach (found (X), STM): - holdeach (X, STM).
holdeach (X, []): - call (X).
holdeach (X, [X|STM]): - holdeach (X, STM).
act([ .STM, STM).
act([ Act|AL], STM, New STM)
:- acteach(Act, STM, Int STM),!,
act(AL, Int STM, New STM).
acteach (delete (X), [], [], Y).
acteach (delete (X), [X Y Y], Y).
acteach (delete (X), [Y L], [Y I L]).
acteach (insert (X), I, [X L]).
acteach (replace (X, Y), [X L], [Y L]).
acteach (replace (X, Y), [X L], [Y L]).
acteach (replace (X, Y), [X L], [Y L]).
acteach (replace (X, Y), [X L], [X L]).
acteach (Else, STM, SIM): - call (Else).
```

Figure 1.1 A Simple PRODUCTION SYSTEM Written in PRCLOG

"knowledge information processing." Even though it may ultimately have applicable results, the current focus of the project is basic research rather than the development of commercial products. [Ref. 4]

In addition to bringing Japan into a leading position in the computer industry, the project is expected to elevate Japan's prestige in the world. It will refute accusations that Japan is only exploiting knowledge imported from abroad without contributing any of its own to benefit the rest of the world. Hence, the project aims at original research and plans to make its results available to the international research community. [Ref. 5]

The most intriguing aspect of the project is its commitment to build the Fifth Generation systems around the concepts of logic programming. In the following paragraphs we trace the roots and rationale for this commitment.

There are many attributes that prescribe a computer system; however, the most important one is what language we accept as the main programming language. For application areas, the basic structure of software systems and the frame of computer architecture are all determined by this language. So in this project, this main programming language, FG-Kernel Language, seems to be the most important research theme. The research and development of this language must be carefully pursued on the basis of systematic studies on various aspects such as artificial intelligence (problem solving and knowledge

representation), software engineering, examination of various programming language proposed, etc. The reasons why a logic programming language (PROLOG) is chosen as the kernel of FG-Kernel language are summarized below.

It is appropriate for programming of knowledge information processing system. List processing, database mechanism similar to relational database, pattern matching (unification) which clearly represents the composition and decomposition of data structure and database research, non-deterministic processing, etc. are indispensable processing functions in programming of knowledge information processing systems. PROLOG has all basic parts of these functions, and moreover, is able to be extended to get more high performance functions.

It gives new paradigms of programming. A non-procedural representation scheme, high modularity, a happy blending of computation and database search etc. are new programming paradigms. These paradigms, what is better still, make it much easier to deal with programs and programming as formal objects and give great possibilities to realize a program verifier and an automatic programming system.

It succeeds to the results of efforts made by current programming languages. Much has been discussed about the relationship between logic programming languages and functional languages, and it has become generally appreciated that these languages will play the leading part in future programming. To be concrete, also as to Lisp, the functional language that is most widely put into practical use at

present, it is possible to extend PROLOG efficiently to include useful functions of Lisp as a subset. PROLOG can put a search mechanism with backtracking control into practical use by using logical formulas (Horn clauses) as language constructs and by improving implementation techniques.

It introduces new computer architectures. FG-Kernel Language will be first implemented on an conventional large scale computer and then on a high performance personal computer. According to the research plan of the Fifth Generation Computers, the language will be improved and extended step by step, based on actual experience and various research results. And finally, the language will become a machine language for the target machine of this project. Consequently, the language (Edinburgh version) must be such a language as fundamentally has all of the appropriate mechanisms for data flow machines and data base machine architectures supposed as basic architectures of the target machine. PROLOG has a great possibility for this, too.

For the above reasons, PROLOG has been chosen as the kernel of Kernel Language. Next, the main features of improvement and extension of PROLOG now under study are enumerated. We give priority to the arrangment of all primitive and necessary functions over invention of high level ones.

1. Abstract Data Types (Encapsulation)

The usefulness of abstract data types has been well known and recently most new programming languages have adopted

it as the basic function. But the current version of PROLOG doesn't have this construct explicitly. So, we have to introduce it in natural way. To introduce every function of the abstract data type and to make clear its function for program specification and program verification remain as a long term research theme.

It is desired that this extension is made by natural enlargement of functions which PROLOG has now. PROLOG has one internal database. In this database, all clauses (unit and non-unit) are stored. There are predicates which assert and retract these clauses, and the way to cause side-effects is to alter the contents of the database with these predicates. This situation can be interpreted as follows: there is only one abstract data type called internal database. Consequently, to make it possible to define a number of abstract data types is to make it possible to create a number of databases, which can be called Micro databases. Various advantages are obtained by the introduction of Micro databases. For instance,

- (a) Side-effects are localized.
- (b) Structures are introduced into programs. If a nested structure is permitted among databases, more complicated program structures can be represented.
- (c) Separate compilation becomes available. Clauses which are not exported, are never accessed from the outside. So, it is possible to compile calling sequences (unification) to these clauses.

2. Refined Higher-order Extensions

PROLOG is a simple and powerful language based on first-order logic. For practical use, however, various higher-order extensions have to be introduced. What is essential is still open to discussion. For example, it is said that higher-order extensions like lambda expressions and predicate variables are not very essential and first-order logic has enough ability. In Lisp, for example, the most primitive mechanism for higher-order programming is that program and data have the same structure, and that quote and eval functions are provided, which control whether some data structures are regarded as program or data. This mechanism is introduced to PROLOG too as a primitive one. The basic data structure of Lisp is the list (s-expression). To PROLOG, the tuple is regarded as a basic one. Each term, predicate and Horn clause is able to be internally represented as a tuple. At the head of each tuple, the tuple name is placed and the attribute of this name indicates what the tuple represents. And then, for composition and decomposition of tuples, unification is extended and some predicates are introduced.

The most fundamental construct for the control structure of PROLOG is the cut operation. This operation is very powerful, but its effect is very hard to understand. So, it is compared to the goto statement in a conventional language. It is possible to introduce more structured constructs for control and banish the cut operation, as we did the goto

statement. For example, the introduction of a selection mode for clauses is possible.

3. Enough Preparation of Programming Tools

Evaluation with backtracking makes debugging very difficult. This means it is necessary to prepare more powerful tools. These include: (1) Debugger, which traps evaluation by error or break, keeps the environment as it is and responds to various users' commands, (2) Tracer, which traces the history of evaluation of specified predicates and variables and displays it in pretty format, (3) Stepper, which evaluates program steps one by one and displays various states by the minute, (4) Editor, which edits clauses with pattern matching, etc. These tools are combined into one total programming system in order to be invoked at any place.

4. <u>High Level Data Structure</u>

It is pointed out that data structures such as sets and bags which collect elements to satisfy certain conditions, represented by predicates, are improtant. For this, the most primitive higher-order predicate is provided to PROLOG as well.

5. Useful Functions for System Description

Interpreters, compilers, file systems, tools for debugging, etc., a lot of system programs have to be developed. The Kernel part of them can be implemented by micro programs. The rest are desirable to be implemented by PROLOG itself. For this purpose, it is possible to introduce efficient system description functions into it. For example, they are:

Abstract data types with good efficiency. A compiler is able to transform the Micro database introduced in (1) into very efficient object codes under a certain restriction. For example, it transforms a clause in Micro database into such codes as fetch and store terms directly in a predicate which represents its internal states.

Refined system data structures. Data structures which represent the internal state of the system are refined. Basic predicates which access and manipulate them and basic protection mechanism are both provided.

Constructs for parallel processing. Necessary parallel processing constructs for programs controlling external devices are introduced as simply as possible.

Compared with an ordinary system description language, PROLOG has far higher level functions, therefore, it is apt to be thought that it is not appropriate for system description. But, under natural restrictions and degeneration of functions, it is able to guarantee the same efficiency as an ordinary system description language does. Examples of these restrictions are: There is no non-deterministic selection. Unification is restricted. A term is a variable or a constant. Furthermore, it is restricted to the parameter binding of an ordinary functional language.

6. The Others

Besides the above, the following functions have to be researched. They are: Large scale databases, connection with external databases (relational databases), other search modes different from top-down and depth-first search, and the improvement of backtracking search mechanism.

II. EXPRESSION OF RELATIONAL DATABASE QUERIES IN LOGIC

A. RELATIONAL DATABASES

Development of data base systems was one of the core elements during the progress in the 70's of computer technology. How to organize and how to utilize gigantic volumes of data were the questions. The progress was made by accumulating experience. Along with it, efforts to organize such experience theoretically also went on.

Codd's proposal for relational databases was made early in the 70's, but is only now about to become a major stream in structuring data bases. This is based on a theory of "relations". As query languages for the data bases predicate formulas (relational calculus) and functional formulas (relational algebra) are proposed. These are mutually interchangeable. They can be regarded as certain kinds of special logics, and through the 70's a great deal of theoretical research effort was made in this area.

B. QUERIES AND LOGIC

Relational database retrieval is viewed as a special case of deduction in logic. It is argued that expressing a query in logic clarifies the problems involved in processing it efficiently (query optimization). We want to describe a simple way for defining a query so that it can be executed by the elementary deductive mechanism provided in the programming language PROLOG.

Several current relational database formalisms have a core which can be viewed as no more than a syntactic variant of a certain subset of logic. To illustrate this, let us consider an example written in Quel.

range of E,M is employee
range of D is dept
retrieve (E.name)
where E.salary > M.salary
and E.manager = M.name
and E.dept = D.dept
and D.floor = 1
and E.age > 40

In ordinary English, this query means: "Which employees aged over 40 on the first floor earn more than their managers?" This query refers to relations:

employee(name,dept,salary,manager,age)
dept(dept,floor)

This query can be expressed in logic (using Prolog oriented syntax) as:

Read this as:

E is an answer if

E is an employee, dept D, salary S, manager M, age A, and

A is greater than 40 and

D is a department on floor 1 and

M is an employee, salary Sl, and

S is greater than Sl.

Here the identifiers starting with a capital letter, such as E, D, S, etc., are logic variables, which can be thought of as standing for arbitrary objects of the domain. Contrast this with the variables of Quel, which denote arbitrary tuples of a certain relation specified in a range statement. (Because, in this example, tuples can be uniquely identified by their first fields, it is natural for the logic variable corresponding to this field to have the same name identifier as is used for the tuple variable in the Quel version). For each tuple variable in a Quel query, there is, in the logic version, a corresponding goal (also called "atomic formula"), e.g.,

dept(D,1)

A goal consists of a predicate, naming the range relation of the corresponding tuple variable, applied to some arguments, corresponding to the fields of this relation. Quel constraints which are identities map into an appropriate choice of variables or constants (such as 'l') for certain goal

arguments. This aspect tends to make the logic form of the query more concise and, it can be argued, easier to comprehend. Note the use of '_' to denote an "anonymous" variable, which is only referred to once, and which therefore does not need to be given a distinct name. Quel constraints which are inequalities map into separate logic goals. The Quel query as a whole maps into a restricted kind of implication, called a clause, where the target of the query appears as the conclusion of the implication (to the left of the ':-').

Clauses can be used not only to represent queries, but also to express the information which makes up the database itself. (It is this aspect which distinguishes what will be described here from much other work relating logic and databases).

In general a clause consists of an implication, which in the Prolog subset of logic is restricted to the form:

 $P := Q1, Q2, \ldots Qn.$

meaning "P is true if Ql and Q2 and ... Qn are true", where P and the Qi may be any goals. If n = o, we have what is called a unit clause, which is written simply as:

Ρ.

meaning "P is true".

For example, here are some unit clauses, representing elementary facts, which serve to define which tuples make up relation 'parent'.

parent (david, hugh).

parent (david, winifred).

parent (ben, david).

parent (ben, jane).

The first clause, for instance, may be read as:

"David has a parent Hugh".

Here we have defined a database relation by explicitly enumerating its tuples. However it is also possible to define a relation implicitly, through general rules expressed as non-unit clauses. For example, here is the definition of the 'ancestor' relation in terms of the 'parent' relation:

ancestor(X,Z) :- parent(X,Z).

ancestor(X,Z) :- parent(X,Y), ancestor(Y,Z).

Read these clauses as:

"X has an ancestor Z if X has a parent Z".

"X has an ancestor Z if

X has a parent Y and Y has an ancestor Z".

Note that the second clause makes the definition recursive. We can think of 'ancestor' as a "virtual" relation. A pair <X,Y> belongs to the 'ancestor' relation if:

ancestor(X,Y)

is a logical consequence of the clauses which make up the database. Thus one can infer, for example, that one of Ben's ancestors is Hugh, i.e.,

ancestor(ben,hugh)

This use of logic clauses to define a database gives much greater power and conciseness than is available in most conventional relational database systems. These systems do not allow an equivalent recursive definition of the 'ancestor' relation, for example.

In fact, the logic subset we have been looking at forms the basis of a general purpose programming language, Prolog. A Prolog system is essentially a machine which can generate solutions to a problem by enumerating all instances of some goal which are valid inferences from the clauses which make up a "program". For example, if the user presents the query:

answer(X) :- ancestor(ben, X).

Prolog responds with the following list of possible values for X, representing all the ancestors of Ben that can be deduced:

X = david; X = jane; X = hugh; X = winifredThe solutions are in fact produced in exactly this order. How this takes place will not be described.

In Prolog, the ordering of clauses in a program, and the ordering of goals in the right-hand side of a clause, provide important control information, which helps to determine the way a program is executed.

To execute a goal (such as 'ancestor(ben,X)' in the previous query), Prolog tries to match it against the left-hand side of some clause, by finding values for variables which make the clause "head" identical with the goal. When successful, Prolog then recursively executes the goals (if any) in the right-hand side of the clause, which will by now have been modified by the results of the matching. When no match can be found, or when there are no more goals left to execute, Prolog backtracks. That is it goes back to the goal most recently matched, undoes the effects of the match, and then seeks an alternative match.

Clauses are tried for a match in the order they appear in the program. Goals in the right-hand side of a clause are executed in the order they appear in that clause. The matching process is actually unification, a process which effectively produces the least possible instantiation of variables necessary to make the two goals identical.

Prolog's backtracking can be thought of as a generalized form of iteration. Thus the two clauses for 'ancestor', when used to satisfy a goal such as 'ancestor(ben,X)', give a behaviour when executed by the Prolog equivalent to the following procedure:

To generate Zs who are ancestors of X:

first generate Zs who are parents of X;

then for each Y who is a parent of X:

generate Zs who are ancestors of Y.

In fact, some compilers can compile such clauses into code which is comparable in efficiency with iterative loops in a more conventional language.

As a final remark, one should note that the Prolog subset of logic includes, besides the variables and elementary constants seen so far, objects which are structures. In this respect, while being similar to many other programming languages, it is a further important generalization of most relational database formalisms.

In fact, Prolog was not designed with relational database retrieval in mind, it was conceived purely as a programming language. The efficiency of processing of Prolog queries may be discussed. The Prolog-based approach of Chat-80 compares with the strategies used in conventional relational database systems. [Ref. 6]

III. TRANSLATION OF A SUBSET OF PROLOG INTO PASCAL

A. PASCAL AS AN IMPLEMENTOR LANGUAGE

Pascal is chosen as an object language for this application, because it does have some excellent features. [Ref. 7]
Here is a list of positive aspects:

- 1) small number of well-chosen keywords,
- 2) small number of syntax and semantics rules,
- 3) meaning of Pascal instructions is highly independent of environment, which promotes portability of programs,
- 4) excellent data structuring methods,
- 5) clean and efficient control structuring,
- 6) excellent for programming "in the small",
- 7) gives a feeling of reliability,
- 8) with some care, readability can be kept high.

Pascal is definitely very useful in the following areas:

- 1) compiler writing, cross assemblers and compilers,
- text processing,
- 3) general, off-line utility programs (editors, etc.),
- 4) treatment of non-numerical data,
- 5) processing of trees, lists and other complex data structures,
- some mathematical problems,
- 7) construction of portable programs.

We do not want to deal with the existing problems in that language. This is beyond the scope of this thesis.

B. PROLOG AND BACKTRACKING

prolog is a simple but powerful programming language founded on symbolic logic. The basic computational mechanism is a pattern matching process ("unification") operating on general record structures ("terms" of logic). It can be argued that pattern matching is a better method for expressing operations on structured data than conventional selectors and constructors—both for the user and for the implementor. From a user's view the major attraction of the language is ease of programming. Clear, readable, concise programs can be written quickly with a few errors.

Prolog has many parallels with Lisp. Both are interactive languages designed primarily for symbolic data processing. Both are founded on formal mathematical systems—Lisp on Church's lambda calculus, prolog on a subset of classical logic. Like pure Lisp, the Prolog language does not (explicitly) incorporate the machine—oriented concepts of assignment and references (pointers). Furthermore, pure Lisp can be viewed as a specialization of Prolog, where procedures are restricted to simple functions and data structures are restricted to lists.

Prolog differs from most programming languages in that there are two quite distinct ways to understand its semantics. The procedural semantics is the more conventional, and describes in the usual way the sequence of states passed through when executing a program. In addition a Prolog

program can be understood as a set of descriptive statements about a problem.

The declarative semantics which Prolog inherits from logic provides a formal basis for such a reading. It simply defines (recursively) the set of terms that are asserted to be true according to a program. A term is true if it is head of some clause instance and each of the goals (if any) of that clause instance is true, where an instance of a clause (or term) is obtained by substituting, for each of zero or more variables, a new term for all occurrences of the variable.

The procedural semantics describes the way a goal is executed. The object of the execution is to produce true instances of the goal. It is important to notice that the ordering of clauses in a program, and goals in a clause, which are irrelevant as far as the declarative semantics is concerned, constitute crucial control information for the procedural semantics.

To execute a goal, the system searches for the first clause whose head matches or unifies with the goal. The unification process finds the most general common instance of two terms, which is unique if it exists. If a match is found, the matching clause instance is then activated by executing in turn, from left to right, each of the goals of its body (if any). If at any time the system fails to find a match for a goal, it backtracks, i.e., it rejects the most recently

activated clause, undoing any substitutions made by the match with the head of the clause. Next it reconsiders the original goal which activated the rejected clause, and tries to find a subsequent clause which also matches the goal.

Prolog owes it simplicity firstly to a generalization of certain aspects of other programming languages, and secondly to omission of many other features which are no longer strictly essential. This generalization gives Prolog a number of novel properties. We shall briefly summarize them.

- 1) General records structures take the place of Lisp's S-expressions. An unlimited number of different record types may be used. Records with any number of fields are possible, giving the equivalent of fixed bound arrays. There are no type restrictions on the fields of a record.
- Pattern matching replaces the use of selector and constructor functions for operating on structured data.
- 3) Procedures may have multiple outputs as well as multiple inputs.
- 4) The input and output arguments of a procedure do not have to be distinguished in advance, but may vary from one call to another. Procedures can be multipurpose.
- 5) Procedures may generate, through backtracking, a sequence of alternative results. This amounts to a high level of iteration.
- 6) Unification includes certain features which are not found in the simpler pattern matching provided by some languages. One can sum this up in the equation:
 Unification = pattern matching + the logical variable.
- 8) The characteristics of the "logical" variable are as follows. An "incomplete" data structure (i.e., containing free variables) may be returned as a procedure's output. The free variables can later be filled in by other procedures, giving the effect of

implicit assignments to a data structure. Where necessary, free variables are automatically linked together by "invisible" references. As a result, values may have to be "dereferenced". This is also performed by the system. Thus the programmer need not be concerned with the exact status of a variable—assigned or unassigned, bound to a reference or not. In particular, the occurrences of a variable in a pattern do not need any prefixes to indicate the status of the variable at that point in the pattern matching process. In short, the logical variable incorporates much of the power of assignment and references in other languages. This is reminiscent of the way most uses of goto can be obviated in a language with well structured control primitives.

- 9) Program and data are identical in form. Clauses can usefully be employed for expressing data.
- 10) There is a natural declarative semantics in addition to the usual procedural semantics.
- 11) The procedural semantics of syntactically correct program is totally defined. It is impossible for an error condition to arise or for an undefined operation to be performed. This is a contrast to most programming languages. A totally defined semantics ensures that programming errors do not result in bizarre program behaviour or incomprehensible error messages.

C. A SUBSET OF PROLOG (SPROLOG)

For the purpose of this work: we select a small subset of Prolog and we will call it Small Prolog (SPROLOG). This subset only includes some primitive data structures, such as atoms and integer numbers. The formal definition of this language is given in Figure 3.1.

SPROLOG also has some restrictions. These are:

- There is no anonymous () variable. This restriction eliminates the possibility of violation of procedure naming rule in Pascal,
- 2) Recursive definition is not allowed,
- 3) Only nonnegative integer numbers can be handled,

```
::= <rule or fact> {<rule or fact>}
::= <rule> | <fact>
<srrolog>
<rul><rul><rul></ri>
<rule>
                 ::= <head> :- <body>
<fact>
                 ::= <head>
<head>
                 ::= <prefix>
                 ::= <structure>
<body>
                 <structure>}
<strūcture>
<infix>
                 ::= <variable> is <arithmetic>
<asg>
                 ::= <relational> | <arithmetic> ::= <arithmetic> <rel operator>
<expression>
<relational>
                      <arithmetic>
                 ::= <variable or 
::= <variable or 
<variable or
<arithmetic>
<arithmetic>
                                     number>
                                     number> <art operator>
                                    number>
                     cprocname> (<variable or constant>
<prefix><prefix>
                 ::=
                 ::=
                            <variable or constant>} )
                 ::= <small>
::= <small> <letter or digit>
    {<letter or digit>}
mber> ::= <variable> | <num</pre>
cname>
<variable or number>
                                               <number>
<variable or constant> ::= <variable> |
                                              <constant>
                 ::= <capital>
::= <capital> <letter or digit>
<variable>
<variable>
                      {<letter or digit>}
<small>
                 ::=
<digit>
<rel operator>
<art operator>
                 ::=
                 ::=
```

Figure 3.1 SPROLOG in BNF Form

- 4) Any variable or atom may have at most ten characters,
- 5) Any program must have only one query clause which is defined as the last rule of the program,
- Any predicate name placed in the body clause must have been declared before as a head clause of a rule. This eliminates taking into consideration the "forward" declarations inherited in Pascal,
- 7) Arithmetic expressions may have at most one operator.

 These restrictions make this implementation easy. But,
 we lose the beauty of the problem.

D. DESIGN

We will develop our work by using the following example. Suppose we have the Prolog program illustrated in Figure 3.2. Our job is to translate it to a Pascal program. We consider that all head clauses of Prolog correspond to the function declarations in Pascal. That is, "pop", "area", "density", "ans" and "query" are all names of the functions which will be called by the calls that are placed in the body clauses anywhere inside the program. The type of these functions is always boolean. If the body clause does not exist, this means that this function will not call any other functions.

```
pop (china, 825).

pop (india, 586).

area (china, 3380).

area (india, 1139).

density (C,D):-rop (C,P), area (C,A), D is P/A.

ans (C1,D1,C2,D2):-density (C1,D1), density (C2,D2),

D1>D2,20*D1<21*D2.

query:-ans (X,Y,Z,T).
```

Figure 3.2 Sample PROLOG Program

The transfer of parameters defined in the Prolog program will cause a little problem, because Prolog does not force the programmer to declare them with the same number and the same type. For example, "density" might be declared with many number of parameters in various places in the program. This leads us to use pointer variables that point to the formal and actual parameters which are stored in the storage area. This idea facilitates parameter passing among functions without using variant record declarations and also prevents the probable translation errors which may result from some features of Pascal, such as "strong typing" or "type checking".

We need also to inform the callee about the caller's name for the following reasons. As shown in the sample program in Figure 3.2, the same name may refer to several callees which may have different numbers and types of parameters. This information will provide a basis for the matching and binding processes. So, to implement this idea, we will enumerate the names of functions and their parameters in the following simple way.

In Prolog source code, enumerate all names from top to bottom and from left to right. In the same way, give also a sequence number to all parameters. So, in the above example, "pop" will have number 1 and the last name "ans" will be numbered as 15. Also, the actual parameter of the first "pop" clause, which is "china", will be the first parameter of this

program and the formal parameter "T" of the "ans" will get number 38. Notice that "is", ">" and "<" in the program are not user defined functions. These are predefined and we will use them from the library.

We already have some problems. There exists more than one alternative clause for the names "pop" and "area". It is impossible to declare two functions with the same name in Pascal. To solve it, we rename the first "pop" as "popl" and the second one as "pop2". Also, we need to define another function whose name is "pop" which will drive all the alternatives according to a logical sequence. This process will be applied to all functions which have alternative clauses. We continue our example in the following tables.

The first table ("Procedure Table") includes some information about the functions (see Figure 3.3). The leftmost column is the function number. This number will be used during the execution phase, when needed, to identify any function. The second column shows the name of the functions.

seq Nc.	. function	parameter pointers	alternative pointers	
12345678900112345	pop pop area area density pop area is ans density density > query ans.	1 2 3 4 6 8 7 10 11 12 13 14 15 18 19 22 24 25 28 27 38 38	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
	Figu	re 3.3 Proce	dure Table	

But, ">" or "<" can not be legal Pascal function names.

Later, we can change them to "greater", "lessthan", etc.

The third and fourth columns are all pointers. They point to the "Parameter Table" (see Figure 3.4) for the associated parameters of that function. Because the function "query" does not have any parameters, its parameter pointers do not point to anything. On the other hand, the last column shows the alternative clauses of that function. For example, the functions "pop" and "area" have two non-zero alternative pointers. In other words, this means that these functions have two alternatives.

The information about parameters is shown in the Parameter Table (see Figure 3.4). The parameter type represents the type of the parameter. Variables, integers and atoms will have the numbers 1, 2 and 3, respectively. However, other numbers which are greater than 3, indicate the existence of arithmetic expressions. The fourth column of the table points to the associated function for those parameters.

The last table (see Figure 3.5) renames the alternative clauses. If we have several functions with the same name, we rename then and then we will be able to use them with these names. In fact, these three tables are not so simple as shown in the figures. The reader may refer to the sample programs given in the appendices.

	seq.	parameter Name	parameter type	pointer to proc table	
	123456	china 825 india 586 china	323232321 1	1	
	7 8 9 10 11	india 1139 C D	3 2 1	445566	
	123 14 15 16 17	P C A D P	1 1 1 1 1 10	6 7 7 8 8 8 8	
,	120345678901234567890123456789012345678	chisdina a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	1 1 1 1 1	122334455667788888999990011123333333355551111111111111111111111	
	222222 222222	D1 C2 D2 D1 D2 20	1 1 1 1 1 2	10 11 11 12 12 13	
	3733334 37333333	D1 21 *	1 1 2 9 1 2 9 1	13 13 13 13 13 15	,
	36 37 38	Ÿ Z T	1	15 15 15	

Figure 3.4 Parameter Table

seq.	function Name	poi pro	nters to	
1 2 3 4	pop1 pop2 area3 area4	1 3 5 7	2 4 6 8	

Figure 3.5 Alternative Clauses Table

E. MEMORY MANAGEMENT AND PROBLEMS

All variables and constants may be handled by using the dynamic storage feature of Pascal. It seems necessary to describe four kinds of records to keep a parameter in a heap area.

The first record ("Procedure Record") contains enough information about the rule number, function number, and parameter number. Also, its last item points to the "Parameter Specification Record". This record keeps the parameter type, parameter name, if any, and it also has a cell pointer which indicates the related Cell. A Cell is itself a pointer which points to the "Value Record". This record saves the value of that parameter. The last one has to have the variant record specification to store various types of parameters. If a parameter does not have value, namely an uninitialized variable, the Cell pointer will not show any "Value Record".

To handle arithmetic expressions, the Cell pointer will point to the associated binary tree for that expression. The

leaves of the tree are also pointers that point to the related "Parameter Specification Record". Also, the same idea can be applied to the list data structures, because it is possible to represent the list as a binary tree.

The variables that are local to a rule will share the same storage area via the "Specification Pointer" defined in its "Procedure Record". This is also true for all the constants of the Program. The same constants, like "china", will be stored only once. The associated cell pointers will provide the way for the common storage.

To bind a value to a variable, the Cell pointer of this variable will point to a "Value Record" which is determined at the time of matching process. This process will create a long chain during the execution of the program. Also, the reverse process is necessary when backtracking and resatisfying occurs. At this point, our design and, finally, this thesis is completely unsuccessful. Due to the storage management and the complexity of execution phase, we restrict again SPROLOG so that our implementation will only be able to execute the "facts" and one rule which is defined at the end of the Prolog program. In this case, this implementation will be useful to define and implement relational databases and query applications. (Our implementation allows processing at most 99 different relations).

Now we are ready to translate the sample Prolog program given in Figure 3.2 into Pascal. Function "pop" and its two

alternatives are shown in Figure 3.6. Formal parameters "a" and "i" which are defined as integers, are function numbers. The parameter "a" is the number of the caller as described in Figure 3.3. The other parameter "i" corresponds to the callee's number which is driven in the "pop" function by the "case" statement. The function of the "case" statement placed in "pop" is very important. All alternatives clauses will be tried by this construction until the "resatisfaction" is not required any more or any impossible condition occurs.

The "match" function included in "popl" and "pop2" is the library function. The unification and binding process will be made by this function. If its returned value is true, this means that the "binding" occurred after the "matching" process.

The function "area" and its alternatives "areal" and "area2" are shown in Figure 3.7. These functions have been constructed with the same way as in the example "pop".

Before describing the other functions, we want to note the importance of "accept" function shown in Figure 3.8.

This is the general driver for all functions. It accepts any function name and its number as arguments and calls all possible alternative functions. For example, to call "popl" or "pop2", "accept" creates functions numbers which will be used by the "case" statement of the "pop". If any returned value is "true" during the execution of "for" loop, "accept" will also return a "true" value. As you noticed, the first

```
function pop1(a,i:integer):hoolean;
begin
   pop1:=match(a,i);
end;
function pop2(a,i:integer):hoolean;
begin
        pop2:=match(a,i);
end;
function pop(a,i:integer):hoolean;
begin
        case i of
        1:pcp:=pop1(a,i);
        2:pop:=pop2(a,i);
end;
end;
```

Figure 3.6 Function POP

```
function area3(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    area3:=match(a,i);
end;
function area4(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    area4:=match(a,i);
end;
function area(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    case i of
    3:area:=area3(a,i);
    4:area:=area4(a,i);
end;
end;
```

Figure 3.7 Function AREA

Figure 3.8 Function ACCEPT

job of "accept" is to try all alternative clauses. If there are no more alternatives to be resatisfied, it returns "false". The functions "first" and "last" determine the function numbers of alternatives for any caller function. The function "resetit" will reset the numbers of alternatives for the future use.

The structure of the function "density" (see Figure 3.9) summarizes the resatisfying and backtracking processes inherited in the Prolog program. If there is any "resatisfaction" request, the execution sequence has to start from the rightmost clause to leftmost clause of the Prolog program.

Also, if there is a need for the backtracking, this process also will begin from the right to the left.

The logical variable "resatisfy" in the "density" function is a global variable to the program. Its job is to

```
function density(a,i:integer):boolean;
latel 6,7,8,9,99;
begin if resatisfy then
      begin
            break(8);
goto 8;
      end:
      6:if
              (accept (pop, 6, a)) then goto 7;
      7:if lacco
           f (accept (area, 7, a)) then goto 8; if not (possible (6)) then goto 99; break (6);
          f (accept(is,8,a)) then goto 9; if not (possible(7)) then goto 99; break(7);
      goto
8:if (a
      goto 7:
9:if okay(a) then
           begin
                 density:=true:
                 return:
           end:
      99:density:=false
end:
```

Figure 3.9 Function Density

determine if the context of "resatisfaction" exists. If it does, then the existing links for binding variables are broken by the "break" and transfer goes to the last function corresponding to the last clause of the Prolog program. In the "density" example, transfer will go to statement labeled 8, if the "resatisfaction" occurs. This transfer will cause the function "is" to be called.

All "goto's" in the "density" function simulates the "backtracking" process of Prolog. As noticed, after trying all possibilities for the "pop" function, transfer goes to the last statement of the "density" function. Otherwise, if any alternative of "pop" returns the "true" value, then

transfer passes to satisfy the next function corresponding to the next clause in the Prolog program. If this function can not create a "true" value, now the "backtracking" process begins. The transfer goes to the last tried function, if the last one has already any alternative to be satisfied. This checking is made possible by the "possible" function.

The execution sequence may reach to the last "if" statement (in "the density example, the statement labeled 9).

The function "okay" checks the returned values of called functions in that function (namely, in the "density" example.

They are "pop", "area" and "is"). Finally, it evaluates them and causes to be assigned a truth value to that function.

The function "ans" (see Figure 3.10) is also created by the same logic described before. It calls some system functions such as "greater" and "lessthan". These correspond to the Prolog clauses which contains the relational operators, ">" and "<", accordingly.

The function "query" (see Figure 3.11) corresponds to the Prolog query given by the user. Its construction is not different from the other functions described so far. The actual execution chain starts from this point. Eventually, the value of this function will be the answer to the user.

Finally, the main body of the Pascal program is illustrated in Figure 3.12. Its important feature is to demonstrate the starting point of the resatisfying process. The user may request to resatisfy his goal, namely he enters ";".

Figure 3.10 Function ANS

```
function query(a,i:integer):boolean;
latel 15,16,99;
begin
   if resatisfy then begin break(15); goto 15; end;
   15:if (accept (ans,15,a)) then goto 16;
        goto 99;
   16:if okay(a) then
        tegin
        query:=true;
        return;
   end;
   99:query:=false
end;
```

Figure 3.11 Function QUERY

```
begin
    message(' EXECUTION BEGINS....',0);
    resatisfy:=false;
    sign:=';';
    while (sign=';') do
    begin
        if query(gg,1) then
        begin
        message(' yes',0);
        print;
        termin(term);
        readln(term,sign);
        close(term);
        resatisfy:=(sign=';');
        if resatisfy then
             message(' RESATISFYING GOAL...',0);
        else message(' FXECUTION ENDS....',0);
        continue;
    end;
    message(str(' no'),0);
    message(str(' no'),0);
    halt;
end. (* main *)
```

Figure 3.12 Main Program

Then the global variable "resatisfy" is set to the "true".

Otherwise execution ends. If "query" does have "true" value,
after the execution, the procedure "print" prints the values
of variables which are declared in the "query".

IV. IMPLEMENTATION AND TEST

A. SOME FEATURES OF PASCAL/VS AT NPS

Release 2.1 of Pascal/VS has several differences from "standard" Pascal. Most of the deviations are in the form of extensions to Pascal in those areas where Pascal does not have suitable facilities. We summarize some of them in Appendix A so that the interested user may understand the application programs given in Appendix B without having any surprise.

B. IMPLEMENTATION

This implementation involves mainly two distinct phases. The first phase is the compilation process (compiler or translator) and the second one is the executing process (executor). The Translator accepts source Prolog and translates it to Pascal source (object program) by including the necessary source and run-time routines. Then, the object program is compiled and executed under Pascal/VS system. All necessary files are handled automatically without requiring any user intervention. The main difference from a standard Prolog is that the user is asked to place his query as the last rule of the program. This rule must begin with the keyword "query".

The compiling process consists of three phases. These are:

- 1) Lexical Analyzing
- 2) Parsing
- 3) Translation

Compilation begins with a source Prolog file named
"SOURCE PROLOG" which is created as a CMS file. (See Appendix C for a sample source program). The access to this file is sequential by the compiler. The token sequence is emitted by the lexical analyzer. If there is no rejected token, the parsing phase begins. The parser considers the context of each token and classifies groups of tokens such as variables, atoms or integers and also structures (rules, head or body clauses). For our purposes we introduce the main driver of the parsing process (see Figure 4.1) for the SPROLOG whose formal definition has been given in Chapter 3. The user may examine the other parts of the Parser by referring to the complete program which is given in Appendix B.

The product of parser and lexical analyzer are the tables described in Chapter 3 and also given in Appendix F, G, and H. The tables have two main jobs. First of all, the translator will use them for translation purposes. In fact, they are all parameters to be passed from user source program to object program. This makes explicit their second job.

Namely, the executer embedded in the object code will use them during the execution.

```
function proc:boolean;
latel a1;
begin
     if not(prefix) then
     begin
         result:=t(.tokenindex.).name:
          i:=t(.tokenindex.).linenum;
         message
          ('error... structure
                                     expected.. '
          proc:=false:
         return:
     end:
     if point then
     begin
          proc:=true:
         return:
     end:
    reject:
     if not (iff) then
     begin
          result:=t(.tokenindex.).name;
         i:=t(.tokenindex.).linenum;
         message
         ('error..."." or ":-" expected.. '
||str(result),i);
proc:=false;
         return:
     end:
a1: if not(structure) then
     begin
          result:=t(.tokenindex.).name;
         i:=t(.tokenindex.).linenum;
          message
          ('error... structure
                                   expected.. '
          ||str(result),i);
proc:=false;
         return:
     end:
     if point then begin
          proc: =true:
         return:
     end:
     reject;
     if comma then
        goto a1;
     proc:=false;
result:=t(.tokenindex.).name;
     i:=t(.tokenindex.).linenum;
     nessage
     ('error... structure
||str(result),i);
(* proc *)
                               expected.. '
end:
```

Figure 4.1 Main Driver for Parsing SPROLOG

As the last step, the translator translates the user source Prolog into Pascal. The mapping process between source and object program is given in Chapter 3. The assumptions and restrictions we have made before, make Pascal's "forward" declarations unnecessary. Also, the passing of integer pointers as parameters between the procedures prevents exhaustive variant record declarations. The probable recursive declarations made by the user in the source programs are detected in this phase by using the stack. Also, it is impossible to translate undefined procedures into Pascal. This process is handled by using the stack as well.

If there are no compiler detected errors, the Translator creates a Pascal source program (see Appendix C) which is called "USER PASCAL". During the creation phase the system library is used for the predefined procedures. After the creation of Pascal source code, the Pascal/VS compiler is called and "USER PASCAL" is compiled and executed. This is an interactive session. If the programmer is not content with an answer to his question, he can initiate backtracking himself by typing a semicolon when Prolog informs him of a solution.

C. TEST, EFFICIENCY CONSIDERATIONS AND SELF-CRITICISM

A sample program that has been compiled and translated into Pascal is given in the appendices. All these applications may be considered as relational database applications. The conjunction of many subgoals allows the user to define many queries.

This implementation does not make as efficient use of time and space as any commercial Prolog compiler or interpreter. The translation phase and compiling object code are all time consuming processes. Object code could be any assembly object code rather than Pascal, because Pascal/VS is also a slow compiler. On the other hand, it is apparent that a Prolog compiler spends a lot of its time backtracking. Backtracking is considered an unusual and expensive event in most language systems. Since in Prolog backtracking is the rule rather than exception, much of the challenge of Prolog implementation is the development of more efficient backtracking mechanisms. [Ref. 7]

It seems that the most important point of this work was not to write an efficient compiler. Rather our aim was to find a mapping system between Prolog and Pascal. But, this process also should be developed.

V. EPILOGUE

In this implementation we tried to translate a small subset of the programming language Prolog into Pascal. We discussed a mapping algorithm and we pointed out some difficulties.

In the literature there are many Prolog implementations.

Many of them are interpreters (see Figure 5.1). For some implementations the reader may refer to references 8 and 9.

Also, for the memory management of Prolog, see reference 10.

name	authors	implementation
PROLCG (Edinburgh Univ.)	F.M. Pereira F.C.N. Pereira D.H.D. Warren I. Byrd	MACRO (etc.) Dec Tops-10 -20
PROLCG (Marseille Univ.)	G. Battani H. Meloni	FORTRAN
FRCLCG (IBM)	J.F. Sowa G. Roberts	VM/CMS
FFOLCG/KR (Iokyo Univ.)	E. Nakashima	UTILISP

Figure 5.1 Prolog Systems

So let us review how one might set about constructing a compiler. Initially, the picture is just a black box with source programs as input and correctly translated object

programs as output. The first consideration is to decide how the output is related to the input. It is natural to examine the structure of the source language and to devise for each element of the language a rule for translating it into target language code. These rules form a specification of the compiler's function. The final and generally more laborious stage of compiler construction involves implementing procedures which efficiently carry out the translation process in accordance with the specification.

The SPROLOG implementation uses the primitive data structures, such as integer numbers, atomic constants and simple variables. List and tree types of data structures have not been considered. In the design phase we tried to give some idea for these structures. This requires efficient memory management processing. From this point, this thesis should be developed.

Backtracking should be considered as the most important fact in Prolog implementations. In particular, the existence of the long chain of variables during the execution phase, requires much more efficient compilation techniques.

We must sometimes take into account the way Prolog searches the database and what state of instantiation our goals will have in deciding the order in which to write the clauses of a Prolog program. The problem with introducing cuts is that we have to be even more certain of exactly how the rules of the program are to be used. For, whereas a cut

when a rule is used one way can be harmless or even beneficial, the very same cut can cause strange behaviour if the rule is suddenly used in another way. However, the cut operation would be introduced by defining a function to our implementation. But, we desired to give importance to relational database applications. For this reason, this operation is missing in this implementation.

Pascal has been chosen as an implementor language. The type checking and strong typing implies that careful design and planning should be considered in the compiler writing process. In particular, this language does not allow one to define twice names in the same context. Prolog does not restrict this. So, we renamed the user's procedure names when translating them. However, Ada does allow one to define procedures with same name (but with different number of parameters) in a given context. This language would provide much more features for this implementation.

As a conclusion we want to emphasize that the programming language Prolog itself also has more advantages than other existing conventional programming languages for writing a Prolog Compiler and also other compilers. Many of the advantages should be clear from the discussions that we have made so far. It is important to take into account, not just the compiler which is the product, but also the work which must go initially in designing and building it and into subsequently maintaining it.

To summarize, Prolog has the following advantages as a compiler-writing tool: less time and effort is required, there is less likelihood of error and the resulting implementation is easier to maintain and modify. Here is the last and most important sentence of this thesis: Prolog will be the programming language of the 20th Century.

APPENDIX A

SOME FEATURES OF PASCAL/VS

1) Separately compileable modules are supported with the SEGMENT definition.
2) "Internal static" data is supported by means of the "static" declarations.
3) "External static" data is supported by means of "def" and "ref" declarations.
4) Static and external data may be initialized at corridor.

4) Static and external data may be initialized at compile time ty means of the "value" declarations.

5) Constant expressions are permitted wherever a constant is permitted except as the lower bound of a subrange type definition.

6) The keyword "range" may be prefixed to a subrange type definition to permit the lower value to be a constant expression.

7) A varying length character string is provided. called STRING. The maximum length of a STRING is

characters.

8) The STRING operators and functions are CONCATENATE, LENGTH, STR, SUBSTR, DELETE, TRIM, LTRIM, COMPRESS and INDEX.

9) A new predefined type, STRINGPTR, has been added that permits the programmer to allocate strings with the procedure whose maximum size is not defined until the

invocation of NEW.

10) A new parameter passing mechanism is provided that allows strings to be passed into a procedure or function without requiring the programmer to specify the maximum size of the string on the formal parameter.

11) The MAIN directive permits the programmer to define a procedure that may be invoked from a non Pascal environment.

12) Files may be accessed based on relative record number transfer access.

(random access): 13) The tagfield in the variant part of a record may be

anywhere within the fixed part of the record.

14) A parameter passing mechanism (const) has been defined which guarantees that the actual parameter is not modified yet does not require the copy overhead of a pass by value

mechanism. 15) "leave", "continue" and "return" are new statements that permit a branching capability without using a "goto".

16) Labels may be either a numeric value or an identifier.

17) "case" statements may have a range notation on the

component statements.
18) An "otherwise" clause is provided for the

statement.

19) The variant labels in records may be written with a range notation. 20) Constants may be of a structured type (namely arrays and records).

The other features which are not included here, are not directly related to cur application. The concerned user may refer to Pascal/VS Manuals at NPGS.

APPENDIX B TRANSLATOR FOR SPROLOG

```
program npro(input,output);
ccrst_max=1500;
type trec=record
              linenum: integer;
              relnum:integer;
              name:alpha;
              ttype:integer;
locality:integer;
           end:
       ttype=array(.1..max.) of trec;
procrec=record
              rulenum: integer;
              relnum: integer;
              name:alpha;
              ptype:integer;
              relativity:integer;
              pointer1:integer;
              pointer2:integer;
bbegin:integer;
bend:integer;
abegin:integer;
              aend:integer
              yesno: integer; callee: integer;
              as:integer:
              ae:integer
              now: integer;
              pom:integer;
       end:
       rarrec=record
              rulenum: integer;
              relnum:integer;
name:alpha;
ptype:integer;
locality:integer;
pointer:integer;
ntype:integer;
              nbind:integer
              nmatch: bcclean:
       end:
var t:ttype;
       line, tokenindex, thound, i, pend, phegin: integer;
       query: boolean; date, time: alfa: result: alpha;
       lexerror, tokenerror: boolean;
rrocfile, paramfile, listing, altfile: text;
lib1, lib2, lib3, lib4, user: text;
px, tx, ax, qq, ret:integer;
proc:array(.1..max.) of procrec;
par:array(.1..max.) of parrec;
alt:array(.1..max.) of procrec;
```

```
procedure cms(const parmstr:string; var rc:integer);
     external:
procedure message(const msg:string;valint:integer):
var term:text:
b∈gin
     termout(term);
if (valint>0) then
      begin
         writeln(term, valint:3, str('. ') | | msg);
writeln(listing, valint:3, str('. ') | | msg);
     end
      else if (valint=0) then
     hegin
         writeln(term,msg);
writeln(listing,msg);
     end
     else
      tegin
         writeln(term,msg,(-valint));
writeln(listing,msg,(-valint));
     end;
     close(term);
end;
function strlen(ccnst instr:string):integer;
var chset:set of char; j,i:integer;
begin
     j:=0;
chset:=(.'0'..'9','a'..'z'.);
chset:=chset+not(chset);
     chset:=chset-(.''.);
for i:=1 to length(instr)
      tegin
               (instr(.i.) in chset) then
j:=succ(j);
     end;
strlen:=j;
end:
```

```
procedure checktckens;
const maxtoken=17;
         legaltoken=16:
type
     rec= record
         res:alpha;
     end;
var hashtable:array(.1..max.) of integer;
    tokens:array(.1..maxtoken.) of alpha;
    totaltoken:integer;
    tokenfile:text; before:alpha;
    hashbound,j,reltoken,rule:integer;
    source:string(70);
    outfile:file of rec;
      pasfile: text;
procedure taketokens:
var
      taken: alpha:
      dummy: integer:
begin
      reset(tokenfile,'name=ptoken.input.a');
while not(eof(tokenfile)) do
      tegin
            readln(tckenfile,dummy,taken);
taken:=ltrim(str(taken));
             tokens (.dummy.) :=taken;
      end:
      close (tokenfile);
end:
function identifier: boolean;
var idset:set of char;
      i:integer;
tegin
        idset:=(.'a'..'z',''.);
if (result(.1.) in īdset) then
        begin
              identifier:=true;
              return;
        end;
        identifier: =true;
        for i:=1 to strlen(str(result)) do
        begin
              if (not (result (.i.) in idset )) then
              begin identifier:=false;
                  return:
              end:
        end:
end:
```

```
function atom:boclean;
var idset:set of char;
i:integer;
begin
    idset:=(.'a'..'z'.);
    atom:=(result(.1.) in idset);
end;
function number:bcolean;
var numset:set of char;
    i:integer;
begin
    numset:=(.'0'..'9'.);
    number:=true;
    for i:=1 to strlen(str(result)) do
    begin
        if (not (result(.i.) in numset )) then
        begin
        number:=false;
        return;
end;
end;
```

```
procedure whichtcken(i:integer);
var j,ln:integer; tokenfound:boolean;
static hashindex:integer;
value hashindex:=0:
begin
     tokenfound:=false;
     for j:=1 to maxtoken do
     begin
           if (result=tokens(.j.)) then
           begin
                hashindex:=succ(hashindex);
hashtable(.hashindex.):=j;
if (j>legaltoken) then
begin
                     ln:=t(.i.).linenum;
                     message
                     ('erroneous token: '||str(result),ln);
                     tckenerror:=true:
                end;
                tokenfound:=true:
                leave:
         end:
     end:
         if (not(tckenfound)) then begin
               if identifier then
               begin
                     hashindex:=succ(hashindex);
                     hashtable (.hashindex.): =succ (maxtoken):
                    tckenfound:=true:
               end:
         end;
if (not(tckenfound)) then
         begiņ
               if number then
               begin
                    hashindex:=succ(hashindex);
hashtable(.hashindex.)
                    :=succ(succ(maxtoken));
tokenfound:=true;
               end:
         end;
         if
              (not (tokenfound)) then
         begin
               įf
                  atcm then
               begin
                     hashindex:=succ(hashindex);
                    hashtable(.hashindex.)
:=succ(succ(maxtoken));
tckenfound:=true;
               end:
         end:
```

```
procedure putfile (i:integer):
begin
       writeln(rule:4,reltoken:4,' ',result);
      outfiled.res:=result;
put(outfile);
t(.i.).linenum:=rule;
t(.i.).relnum:=reltoken;
end;
prccedure rejecttcken;
var i, j:integer; b:ttype; tf:boolean;
    opset:set of char;
begin
      opset: = ( '+' ,'-','*','/'.);
message (' ',0);
for i:= 1 to (tbound-1) do
       tegin
             tf:=(t(.i.).name=':')
   (t(.i+1.).name=':-');
if tf then
                   t (.i.) . name: = ' ':
       end:
j:=0:
for i:=1 to thound do
       tegin
              tf:=(not(t(.i.).name=' '));
if tf then
              begin
                   j:=succ(j);
                   b(.j.).name:=t(.i.).name;
              end:
       end;
       thound:=j:
       t:=b;
if (t(.tbound.).name <> '.') then
       begin
             message
 ('warning.. no eof? "."
    t(.tbound.).linenum);
    t(.tbound+1.).name:='.';
tbound:=succ(tbound);
                                                11 11
                                                          assumed!
       end;
      rule:=1; reltoken:=1; for i:=1 to thound do
       tegin
            result:=t(.i.).name; with t(.i.) do
            begin
                   if (identifier or
                            atom
                                           OI
                            number
                                           or
                  then locality:=0 else locality:=-1;
                                                in opset))
```

```
end;
putfile(i);
if (result='.') then rule:=succ(rule);
if (result='.') then reltoken:=0;
reltoken:=succ(reltoken);
whichtoken(i);
end;
end;
```

```
procedure puttoker;
totaltoken:=succ(totaltoken);
tokenindex:=succ(tokenindex);
t(.tokenindex.).name:=result;
tbound:=tokenindex;
end; (*put token *)
procedure tokenfcund;
var i:integer:
 begin
var i:integer;
static proc:integer;
value proc:=0;
begin
         taketokens;
        totaltoken:=0;
reset(pasfile, name=source.prolog.a');
rewrite(outfile);
line:=0;
        while not(eof(pasfile)) do
        tegin
                readln(rasfile, source);
if (source<>str(' '))
    then line:=succ(line);
if (source<>str(' '))
                      (source<>str(''))
then ressage(source, line);
                source: = ccmpréss (source);
                j:=length(source):
                í:=1;
                while (i<=j) do
                begin
                        token(i,source,result);
if (result='.') then
    rroc:=succ(proc);
if (result<>'') then
                        begīņ
                                      (result='-') then
if(before=':') then
                                               result:=str(':-');
                                puttoken:
                      end:
                end:
        end;
rejecttoken;
end; (* tokenfound*)
begin
        rewrite(listing);
hefore:=str('a');
        tokenerror:=false:
        tokenfound:
 end: (* checktokens *)
```

```
procedure lexicalanalyzer;
type xtype=array(.1..7.) of integer;
    ptype=record
                    name: alpha:
                    numb:integer;
            end;
var rrec:array(.1..12.) of ptype;
    a:array(.1..80,1..7.) of integer;
    global,null: colean; ttoken:alpha;
        cédure takeex;
expfile:text; i,j:integer;
procédure
begin
          reset(expfile, 'name = exp.input.a1');
          while not (eof (expfile)) do
          regin
                    i:=succ(i);
for j:=1 to 7 do
begin
                             read (expfile, a (.i,j.));
                    end:
                   readin (expfile):
          end:
             (*takeexp*)
end:
procedure give;
Legin
         prec (.1.) . name:='+';
prec (.1.) . numh:=5;
prec (.2.) . name:='-';
prec (.2.) . name:='-';
prec (.3.) . name:='*';
prec (.3.) . numh:=5;
prec (.4.) . name:='/';
prec (.4.) . numh:=5.
         prec (.4.) numt = 5;
prec (.5.) name = '=';
prec (.5.) numt = 6;
prec (.6.) name = '<';
prec (.6.) numt = 7;
prec (.7.) name = '>';
prec (.8.) name = '>';
          prec | .8. | . name:= '<>';
         prec (.8.) . numh:=7;
prec (.9.) . name:='<=';
prec (.9.) . numh:=7;
prec (.10.) . name:='>=';
prec (.10.) . numb:=7;
prec (.11.) . name:='is';
prec (.12.) . name:='is';
prec (.12.) . numb:=4;
prec (.12.) . numb:=4;
          prec (.8.) . numb := 7
end:
```

```
function taketoken:alpha:
begin
       if global then
            tokenindex:=succ(tokenindex):
      null:=(tokenindex>pend);
assert not(null);
if not(null) then
           takétoken:=t(.tokenindex.).name
         taketoken:='@';
(* taketoken *)
end:
procedure reject;
begin
tokenindex:=pred(tokenindex);
end; (* reject *)
function left:boclean;
h∈gin
      left:=false;
if not(null) then
   left:=(taketoken='(');
end:
function right:bcclean;
begin
      right:=false;
if not(null) then
    right:=(taketoken=')');
end;
function comma: bcolean;
begin
      comma:=false;
if not(null) then
comma:=(taketoken=',');
end;
function variable:boolean;
var idset:set of char;
   i:integer;
        variable:=false:
        result:=taketoken;
idset:=(.'a'..'z',''.);
if {result(.1.) in Idset } then
        begin
               variable:=true:
              return:
        end:
end:
```

```
function a tom:boclean; var idset:set of char;
     i:integer;
begin
     result:=taketoken;
idset:=(.'a'..'z'.);
atom:=(result(.1.) in idset);
end;
function number: colean;
var numset:set of char;
      i:integer;
begin
      numset:=(.'0'..'9'.);
      number: =true;
      result:=taketoken;
for i:=1 to strlen(str(result)) do
      begin
           if
               (not (result (.i.) in numset )) then
          begin
               number:=false:
             return;
          end;
      end:
end; function varorconst:boolean;
begin
     if variable then
     begin
          t(.tokenindex.).ttype:=1;
         varorconst:=true:
         return:
     en d
     else reject;
     if number then
     tegin
          t(.tokenindex.).ttype:=2;
         varorconst:=true:
         return:
     end
     else reject;
     if atom then
     tegin
          t(.tokenindex.).ttype:=3;
          varorconst:=true:
         return:
     end;
     varorconst:=false:
end:
```

```
function iff:boolean:
begin
     iff:=false;
if not(null) then
  iff:=(taketoken=':-');
end;
function procname:boolean;
procname:=atcn;
function point: boclean;
begin
     roint:=false;
if not(null) then
   point:=(taketoken='.');
end;
function prefix: hoolean;
lakel a1:
var local: integer;
begin
     local:=0;
if not(procname) then
     regin
           prefix:=false:
           return;
     end;
     if not (left) then
     begin
           reject;
           local:=0;
t(.tokenindex.).ttype:=4;
           prefix:=true;
           return:
     end;
          t(.tokenindex-1.).ttype:=5;
a1: if not (varorccnst) then
     begin
           prefix:=false:
          return;
     end;
     local:=succ(local);
     t(.tokenindex.).locality:=local; if right then
     tegin
           prefix:=true:
           return:
     end;
     reject: if comma then
goto a1:
prefix:=false;
end; (* prefix *)
```

```
function expression(inex:xtype):boolean;
var cex,f:xtype;
procedure convert;
var i, j, ix, cindex: integer;
begin
      for
           i:=1 to 7 do
      cex(.i.):=0;
for i:=1 to 7 do
      tegin
            if (inex (.i.)=0) then continue; for j:=1 to 12 do begin
                  ix:=inex(.i.);
if (t(.ix.).name = prec(.j.).name) then
                  begin
                         cex(.i.):=prec(.j.).numb;
leave;
                  end:
            end:
      end;
      global:=false;
for i:=1 to 7 do
hegin
            if (inex(.i.)=0) then continue; if (cex(.i.)>0) then continue; tokenindex:=inex(.i.);
            if variable then
            begin
                   t(.tokenindex.).ttype:=1;
                  cex(.i.):=1;
continue;
            end;
            if number then
            begin
                   t(.tckenindex.).ttype:=2;
                  cex(.i.):=2;
continue;
            end;
            if atom then
            begin
                   t(.tckenindex.).ttype:=3;
                  cex(.i.):=3;
continue;
            end:
end; end; global:=true; end; (* convert *)
```

```
function infix:boolean;
latel a1;
type rc=record
           name:alpha;
           ind:integer;
end;
var i,cindex,middle,len,j,tm:integer;
      ex:xtype;
legal,legal1,legal2:boolean;
tok:array(.1..8.) of rc;
begin
      cindex:=tokenindex;
i:=0;
      repeat
             i:=succ(i); if (i>8) then leave;
              ttoken:=taketoken;
             tok(.i.).name:=ttcken;
tok(.i.).ind:=tokenindex;
      until (ttoken='.') or (ttoken=',');
len:=pred(tokenindex-cindex);
      tm:=0;
for i:=1 to len do
begin
for j:=5 to 12
            r j:=5 to 12 do if (tok(.i.).name=prec(.j.).name) then
            begin
                  tm:=i;
                  goto a1:
            end:
end;
a1:if (tm=0) then
      tegin
            tokenindex:=cindex;
            infix:=false;
            return;
      end;
for i:=1 to 7 do
            ex (.i.):=0;
      j:=3;
for i:=1 to tm do
            if ((tm-i)<1) then leave;
if (j<1) then leave;
ex (.j.):=tok (.tm-i.).ind;</pre>
            j:=pred(j);
      end;
j:=4;
for i:=tm to len do
      begin
            if (j>7) then leave;
ex (.j.):=tok(.i.).ind;
j:=succ(j);
      end:
```

```
function structure: boolean:
begin
     if infix then
         structure:=true
     else structure:=prefix;
function proc:boclean; latel a1;
begin if not (prefix) then
     tegin
          result:=t(.tokenindex.).name;
i:=t(.tokenindex.).linenum;
          message
          ('error... structure expected.. '||str(result),i);
          proc:=false:
          return;
     end;
     if point then
     begin
          proc:=true;
          return;
     end;
     reject; if not (iff) then
     begin
          result: = t(.tokenindex.) .name;
          i:=t(.tokenindex.).linenum;
          message
           ('error..."." or ":-" expected.. '
          ||str(result),i);
proc:=false;
          return:
     end;
a 1:
     if not (structure) then kegin
          result:=t(.tokenindex.).name;
i:=t(.tokenindex.).linenum;
          message
          ('error... structure ||str(result),i); proc:=false;
                                        expected.. '
          return:
     end;
     if point then
     tegin
          proc:=true:
          return:
     end:
     re jéct:
```

```
if comma then
    goto a1;
proc:=false;
result:=t(.tckenindex.).name;
i:=t(.tokenindex.).linenum;
message
    ('error... structure expected..'
|!str(result),i);
end; (* proc *)
```

```
begin (* lexical analyzer *)
lexerror:=false;
        global:=true;
        takeexp; give;
null:=false; tokenindex:=0;
i:=0; pbegin:=1;
while (i<=tbcund) do</pre>
        tegin
                repeat
                i:=succ(i);
if(i>tbound) then leave;
until (t(.i.).name = '.');
if(i>tbound) then leave;
                pend:=i;
if not(prcc) then
                begin
                          lexerror:=true;
                          i:=rend;
tokenindex:=pend;
                end:
phegin:=succ(i);
end;
end;
end; (* lexical analyzer *)
procedure changea;
var i,q,r,bb,be:integer;
dummy:alpha;
begin
        query:=false;
q:=0;
r:=0;
false;
        for i:=1 to rx do
        tegin
                dummy:= *
                dummy:=trim(str(prcc(.i.).name));
if (dummy='a') then
    proc(.i.).name:='$';
if (dummy='query') then
                begin
                      q:=succ(q);
r:=i;
                end;
        end:
        if (q=1) then
        begin
            guery:=true;
tb:=proc(.r.).bbeqin;
be:=proc(.r.).bend;
if(bb=0) then
            begin
                    query:=false;
message
 (' error... "query" must be defined as a rule',r);
```

```
end;
    return;
    end;
    if (q=0) then
    hegin
        query:=false;
    message
('error...there must be a "query" procedure',r);
    return;
    end;
    if (q>1) then
    hegin
        query:=false;
        message
('error...more than one "query" procedures',r);
    end;
end; (*changea*)
```

```
procedure createarrays; var a,b,i,j,count,before:integer; procedure alternatives;
var i,ab,ae:integer;
      rassname:alpha:
      ā,b:integer
procedure putalternate
                (passname:alpha; var ab,ae:integer);
var i,j:integer;
static x:integer;
value x:=1;
tegin
      ab:=x;
for i:=1 to rx do
      begin
            if (proc(.i.).relativity<>0) then continue; if (proc(.i.).name<>passname) then continue;
            alt(.x.):=proc(.i.);
alt(.x.).aend:=i;
alt(.x.).abegin:=proc(.i.).rulenum;
            ae:=x;
            x:=succ(x):
      end;
      if (ab<>0) then
      legin
if([ab-ae]=0) then
            begin
                  ab:=0:
                  ae:=0:
                  x := préd(x);
            end:
      end;
      ax:=pred(x);
end:
procedure putthenumber
                (passname:alpha; ab,ae,i:integer);
     j:integer:
var
tegin
      for
           j:=i to px do
      tegiñ
            if (proc(.j.).relativity=0) then continue; if (proc(.j.).name<>passname) then continue; proc(.j.).abegin:=ab; proc(.j.).aend:=ae;
      end;
            putthenumber *)
end; (*
```

```
tegin
         for i:=1 to px do
         begin
                  proc(.i.).abegin:=0;
proc(.i.).aend:=0;
                  alt(.i.) .abegin:=0;
alt(.i.) .aend:=0;
         end;
                  i:=1 to px do
         for
         regin
                  if (proc(.i.).relativity=0) then continue; if (proc(.i.).ptype=6) then continue; if (proc(.i.).abegin<>0) then continue; passname:=proc(.i.).name;
                  putalternate(passname,ab,ae);
putthenumber(passname,ab,ae,i);
         end:
         i:=0;
         for a:=240 tc 249 do
for b:=240 to 249 do
begin
                         if ((a=240) and (b=240)) then continue;
i:=succ(i);
if (i>ax) then leave;
if (a<>240) then
alt (.i.) name:=trim(str(alt(.i.) name)) | |
str(char(a)) | | str(chr(b))
                         alt (.i.) . name: = trim(str(alt(.i.) . name)) | | str(chr(b));
                end; call;
            (* alternatives *)
end;
procedure procdo (t:trec); begin
         px:=succ(px);
proc(.px.).rulenum:=t.linenum;
proc(.px.).relnum:=t.relnum;
proc(.px.).name:=t.name;
proc(.px.).ptype:=t.ttype;
```

```
procedure putfiles;
var i:integer;
begin
                       rewrite (procfile);
                      rewrite (paramfile);
rewrite (altfile);
for i:=1 to tx do
                       tegin
                                           write (paramfile, i:3, '.':1);
write (paramfile, par (.i.) .rulenum:4);
write (paramfile, par (.i.) .relnum:4);
write (paramfile, par (.i.) .name:12);
write (paramfile, par (.i.) .ptype:4);
write (paramfile, par (.i.) .pointer:4);
write (paramfile, par (.i.) .pointer:4);
write (paramfile, par (.i.) .ntype:4);
write (paramfile, par (.i.) .ntype:4);
write (paramfile, par (.i.) .nbind:4);
                                             write(paramfile, par(.i.).nbind:4) write(n (paramfile);
                       end;
                       for i:=1 to rx do
                                          write(prccfile,i:3,'.':1);
write(prccfile,prcc(.i.).rulenum:4);
write(prccfile,prcc(.i.).relnum:4);
write(prccfile,prcc(.i.).name:12);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).ptype:4);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).ptype:4);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).pointer1:4);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).pointer2:4);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).bbegin:4);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).bend:4);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).abegin:4);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).abegin:4);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).abegin:4);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).aend:4);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).aend:4);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).ae:3);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).ae:3);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).ae:3);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).ae:3);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).pom:3);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).pom:3);
write(prccfile,proc(.i.).pom:3);
                       begin
                                             writeln(procfile):
                       end;
                       for i:=1 to ax do
                       tegin
                                            write(altfile,i:3,'.':1);
write(altfile,alt(.i.).rulenum:4);
write(altfile,alt(.i.).relnum:4);
write(altfile,'':4);
write(altfile,alt(.i.).name:12);
write(altfile,alt(.i.).ptype:4);
write(altfile,alt(.i.).relativity:4);
```

```
write(altfile,alt(.i.).pointer1:4);
    write(altfile,alt(.i.).pointer2:4);
    write(altfile,alt(.i.).bbegin:4);
    write(altfile,alt(.i.).bend:4);
    write(altfile,alt(.i.).abegin:4);
    write(altfile,alt(.i.).aend:4);
    writeln(altfile);
end;
end; (*putfiles *)
```

```
t ∈ qin
        px:=0; tx:=0;
for i:=1 to thound do
        tegin
              end;
j:=1;
for i:=1 to rx do
              if
if
                   (j>tx) then leave: (proc(.i.).ptype=4) then
              begin
                     proc(.i.).pointer1:=0;
proc(.i.).pointer2:=0;
continue;
              end;
              proc(.i.).pointer1:=j;
par(.j.).pointer:=i;
repeat
                      j:=succ(j);
if (j>tx) then leave;
par(.j.).pointer:=i;
              until
(par(.j.).locality<=par(.j-1.).locality);
    proc(.i.).pointer2:=pred(j);
    end;(*for*)</pre>
        lefore:=proc(.1.).rulenum;
count:=0;
        for i:=1 to rx do
        tegiņ
              if (proc(.i.).rulenum=before) then
              begin
                    proc(.i.).relativity:=count;
count:=succ(count);
continue;
              end:
              before:=rroc(.i.).rulenum;
count:=1;
        end:
        teginend:
       chángea; alternatives;
 cnow;
genbind;
putfiles;
end; (* createarray *)
```

```
function defined:toolean;
var i,j,k,pm,bb,ke:integer;
nom:alpha;
                                               deff:boolean:
tegin
      defined:=true;
for i:=1 to rx do
        begin
               if(proc(.i.).relativity=0)
              if (proc (.i.).ptype=6) then continue; deff:=false; for j:=1 to px do
                begin
                       if (proc(.j.).relativity<>0)
                           then continue:
                       if (proc(.j.) .name=proc(.i.) .name) then
                       begin
                              deff:=true:
                              leave;
                       end:
                end;
                      (not (deff)) then
                  begin
                       nom:=ltrim(trim(str(proc(.i.).name)));
if(nom='$') then
   ncm:='a';
                       message ('undefined procedure '||str(nom),
                       prcc(.i.).rulenum);
defined:=false;
                       return;
                  end:
        end;
for i:=1 to px do
              if (proc (.i.) .ptype=6) then continue; if (proc (.i.) .relativity>0) then continue; if (proc (.i.) .bbegin=0) then continue; bb:=proc (.i.) .bbegin; be:=proc (.i.) .bend; for j:=th to be do
               begiñ
                      pm:=rroc(.j.).pom;
for k:=i to px do
                     begin
                            if (proc(.k.).relativity>0)
  then continue;
                            if (proc(.k.).pom<>pm) then continue;
nom:=ltrim(trim(str(proc(.k.).name)));
                            if (nom='$') then nom:='a':
                                 message
                                  ('undefined procedure'||str(ncm),
                            proc(.k.).rulenum);
defined:=false;
                            return:
                     end:
              end:
end; (* defined *)
```

```
function recursive: boolean;
      i,j,k,l,v0,v1,v2,vb,ve,vb1,ve1:integer; xname,nom:alpha
       recursive:=false;
       for i:=1 to rx do
       begin
             if (proc(.i.).bbegin=0) then continue;
             xname:=proc(.i.).name;
for j:=prcc(.i.).bbegin to proc(.i.).bend dc
             begin
                    if (proc (.j.) . name <> xname) then continue;
recursive := true;
                    nom:=ltrim(trim(str(xname)));
if(ncm='$') then nom:='a';
                    message
                    ('recursive is not allowed'||str(nom),
                    proc(.i.).rulenum);
                    return:
             end:
       end;
for i:=1 to rx do
               ((proc(.i.).relativity=0) and (proc(.i.).bbegin>0)) then
             begin
                    v0:=proc(.i.).pom;
vb:=proc(.i.).begin;
ve:=proc(.i.).bend;
for j:=vb to ve do
                    begiñ
                          v1:=proc(.j.).pom;
for k:=1 to px do
                          if(proc(.k.).relativity>0)
    then continue;
    hbegin=0)
                               if (proc(.k.).bbegin=0)
  then continue;
                               if (proc(.k.).pom <> v1)
                                  then continue;
                              vb1:=proc(.k.).bbegin;
ve1:=proc(.k.).bend;
for l:=vb1 to ve1 do
                               begin
                                     v2:=proc(.1.).pom;
if(v2=v0) then
                                     begin
                                           recursive:=true;
                                           xname:=proc(.1.).name;
nom:=ltrim(trim(str(xname)))
if(nom='$') then nom:=a;
                                           message
                                            ('recursive
                                                                    is
                                                                               not
allowed'
                                           | str (nom),
                                           proc(.1.).rulenum):
                                           return;
                               end; end; end; end;
                                           end; end;
 end; (* recursive *)
```

```
procedure createrascal:
ъaг
        ext:array(.1..255.) of alpha;
p1,p2,p3,i,t:integer;pan:alpha;
function n1(n:integer):integer;
var i:integer:
tegin
        nl:=2;
i:=n div 10;
if (i=0) then nl:=1;
end:
procedure takelit0;
var line:string(72);i:integer;
    rempty,aempty,tempty:boolean;
    p,a,t:string(6);
begin
        line:='program user(input,output);';
        writeln(user, line);
line:='const';
        writeln(user,line);

rempty:=(px=0);

aempty:=(ax=0);

tempty:=(tx=0);
       if pempty then px:=2;
if aempty then ax:=2;
if tempty then tx:=2;
p:=str('false');
a:=str('false');
t:=str('false');
if pempty then p:=str
if aempty then a:=str
        if pempty then p:=str('true');
if aempty then a:=str('true');
if tempty then t:=str('true');
writeln(user,'
writeln(user,'
writeln(user,'
writeln(user,'
writeln(user,')
writeln(user,')
                                               pempty=',p:strlen(p),';
aempty=',a:strlen(a),';
tempty=',t:strlen(t),';
px=',px:nl(px),';');
ax=',ax:nl(ax),';');
tx=',tx:nl(tx),';');
qq=',qq:nl(qq),';');
        writeln (user,
        writeln user,
        writeln (user,
        writeln (user,'
end:
procedure takelih1;
var line:string(72);
t∈gin
        reset(lib1, 'name=lib1. pascal.a1');
        while not eof (lib1) do
        begin
                 readln(lih1,line);
                 writeln(user, line);
        end:
close(lib1);
end; (* takelib1*)
```

```
procedure takelih2;
var line:string(72);
begin
       reset(lib2, 'name=lib2.pascal.a1');
while not eof(lib2) do
       tegin
             readln(lib2, line);
writeln(user, line);
       end;
close(lib2);
end; (* takelib2*)
procedure takelib3;
var line:string(72);
begin
       reset(lib3,'name=lib3.pascal.a1');
while not eof(lib3) do
       tegin
              readln (lik3, line);
              writeln(user, line);
close(lib3);
end; (* takelib3*)
procedure takelib4;
var line:string(72);
begin
       reset (lib4, 'name=lib4. pascal.a1');
       while not eof (lib4) do
       begin
              readln (lik4, line);
              writeln(user, line);
       end;
close(lib4);
end; (* takelib4*)
```

```
function change (var p:alpha):alpha;
begin
       change:=p;
if (p='<') then change:='lessthan';
if (p='>') then change:='greater';
if (p='<=') then change:='lessequal';
if (p='>=') then change:='greatequal';
if (p='<>') then change:='notequal';
if (p='=') then change:='equal';
end;
function exist (p:alpha):boolean;
var i:integer;
tegin
       exist:=false;
for i:=1 to t do
               if (p=ext(.i.)) then exist:=true;
end;
procedure createfun (fname: alpha);
type l1=record
             a:string(9);
             n:alpha;
c:string(22);
         end;
12=string(70);
13=record
             b:string(4);
             n:alpha;
             o:string(13);
end;
var f1:11;f2:12;f3:13;f4:12;
tegin
       if (exist (fname)) then return;
f1.a:='function';
f1.n:=fname;
f1.o:='(a,i:integer):boolean;';
f2:='begin';
f3.b:='
f3.n:=fname;
f3.o:=':=match/a,i):'
        f3.o:=':=match(a,i);';
       f4:='end:';
with f1 do
```

```
begin
    writeln(lib4,a:9,n:strlen(str(n)),o:22);
end;
writeln(lib4,f2);
with f3 do
tegin
    writeln(lib4,b:4,n:strlen(str(n)),o:13);
end;
writeln(lib4,f4);
t:=succ(t);
ext(.t.):=fname;
end;
```

```
procedure althody(fname:alpha;abe,abn:integer);
type
  line=string(72);
  line1=record
        a:string(9);
        b:alpha;
        c:string(22);
     end;
     liné4=record
        a:string(4);
        b:integer;
        c:char
        d:alpha;
        e:string(2);
        f:alpha
        g:alpha;
end; var 11:line1;12,13,15,16:line;14:line4;i:integer;
begin
       if (exist (fname)) then return;
       t:=succ(t);
ext(.t.):=fname;
l1.a:='function';
       11.b:=fname;

11.c:='(a,i:integer):bcolean;';

12:='begin';

13:=' case i of';
      13:=' case i of';
write(lib4,l1.a);
write(lib4,l1.b:strlen(str(l1.b)));
writeln(lib4,l1.c);
writeln(lib4,l2); writeln(lib4,l3);
       with 14
                    do
       begin
             a:= 1
             C:=':';
              d:=fname;
             e:=':=';
g:='(a,i);';
       end;
       for
             i:=abe to abn do
       begin
              with 14 dc
              begin
               b:=i;
    f:=a lt(.i.) - name;
    t:=succ(t);
    ext(.t.):=f;
write(lib4,a:4,b:nl(b),c:1,d:strlen(str(d)));
    writeln(lib4,e:2,f:strlen(str(f)),g:6);
            end:
end; '; writeln(lib4,15);
end; (*altbody*);
```

```
procedure createrule(fname:alpha; var a,b:integer);
type
line=string(70);
    lrec=record
        two:integer;
del:char;
    end;
    lab=record
       dec:string(6);
num:array(.1..20.) of lrec;
del:char;
    end;
    line1=record
          a:string(9);
          n:alpha;
          o:string(22);
       end;
    line3=record
          a:string(34);
         b:integer;
c:string(8);
d:integer;
          e:string(6);
       end;
    line6=record
         no: string (4); num1: integer;
          cond: alpha;
          name: alpha;
          comma 1: chai:
          num2:integer;
comma2:char;
         a:char;
other:string(13);
num3:integer;
del:char;
    end;
    line9=record
          f:string(23);
          num:integer;
          cther:string(16);
    end;
    liné10=record
           other: alpha;
           num:integer;
f:string(2);
    end;
line12=record
           b:string(4);
           n:integer;
           o: string (16);
    end:
```

```
line14=record
    a:string(11);
    b:alpha;
    c:string(7);
end;
line17=record
    a:string(7);
    b:alpha;
    c:string(7);
end;
var 1,14,17,113,115,116,118:line; l3:line3;
    12:lab;16,18:line6;19:line9;
    110,111:line10;112:line12;
    11:line1; l14:line14; l17:line17;
    i,aa,li:integer;
```

```
procedure line15;
var i:integer;
tegin
       11.a:='function ';
11.n:=fname;
11.o:='(a,i:integer):boolean;';
12.dec:='label';
       for i:=1 to 20 do
       begin
              12.num (.i.) .two:=0;
12.num (.i.) .del:=',';
       end:
12.del:=';';
with 13 do
       tegin
              a:= "
                             if resatisfy then begin break(';
              b:=0;
c:=');
                         goto ';
              d:=0;
e:='; end;';
       end;
14:='begin';
end;
procedure line67;
begin
16.no:='
       16. num1:=0;
16. cond:=':if (accept(';
       16.name:='
16.comma1:=',';
       16.num2:=0;
16.comma2:=',';
16.a:='a';
       16.d:='a';
16.other:=')) then goto ';
16.num3:=0;
16.del:=';';
17:=' qcto 99:'
end;
procedure line811;
begin
        18.no:='
       18.num1:=0;
18.cond:=':if (accept(';
18.name:='
       18.comma1:=',';
18.num2:=0;
        18.comma2:=',';
        18.a:='a';
18.other:=')) then gotc ';
       18. num3:=0;
18. del:=';;
19.f:='
                                if not(possible(';
```

```
19.num:=0;
19.other:=') then goto 99;';
110.other:=' break(';
110.num:=0;
110.f:=');
111.other:=' goto';
111.num:=0;
111.f:=';';
end;
procedure line1218;
begin
112.b:=' ';
112.n:=0;
112.o:='if ckay(a) then';
113:=' hegin';
114.a:=' hegin';
114.b:=fname;
114.c:=':=true;';
115:=' end:':
117.a:=' send:':
117.b:=fname;
117.c:=':=false';
end;'
```

```
procedure write15;
var i,nc:integer;
begin
       with 11 do
       begin
              writeln(lib4,a:9,n:strlen(str(n)),o:22):
       end;
with 12 do
       begin
              write(lik4,dec);
for i:=1 to li do
              begin
                     nc:=rl(num(.i.).two);
write(lib4, num(.i.).two:nc, num(.i.).del:1);
              end:
              writeln(lib4):
       end;
       writeln(lib4,14); with 13 do
       tegin
              b: = 12. num (. li-2.) . two;
              d:=b;
              nc:=nl(b);
writeln(lib4,a:34,b:nc,c:8,d:nc,e:6);
       end:
end:
procedure write67;
var nc:integer;
begin
       with 16 do
       tegin
      nc:=nl(num1);
write(lib4, no:4, num1:nc, cond:12);
nc:=nl(num2);
write(lib4, name: strlen(str(name)), comma1:1, num2:nc)
nc:=nl(num3);
writeln(lib4, comma2:1, a:1, other:13, num3:nc, del:1);
       end
       writeln(lib4,17);
end;
procedure write811:
var nc:integer;
begin
       with 18 do
       begin
      nc:=nl(num1);
write(lib4, no:4, num1:nc, cond:12);
nc:=nl(num2);
write(lib4, name: strlen(str(name)), comma1:1, num2:nc)
nc:=nl(num3);
writeln(lib4, comma2:1, a:1, other:13, num3:nc, del:1);
       end:
```

```
procedure write1218:
var nc:integer:
begin
      with 112 do
      tegin
            nc:=n1(n);
writeln(lib4,b:4,n:nc,o:16);
      end;
writeln(lib4,113);
with 114 do
      tegin
            writeln(lib4, a: 11, b:strlen(str(b)), c:7);
      end;
writeln(lib4,115);
writeln(lib4,116);
with 117 do
      tegin
            writeln(lib4,a:7,b:strlen(str(b)),c:7);
      end;
writeln(lib4,118);
end;
begin
      if (exist (fname)) then return;
t:=succ(t); ext(.t.):=fname;
line15;
      aa := pred (a);
li := b-a+2;
      for i:=1 to li do
      begin
            aa:=succ(aa);
12.num(.i.).two:=aa;
      end;
      li:=succ(li);
12.num(.li.).two:=99;
12.num(.li.).del:=';';
write15;
      line67:
      16. num 1: =a;
      16.name:=change(proc(.a.).name);
      16.num2:=a:
      16. num3: =succ(a):
      write67;
      for i:=succ(a) to b do
      begin
            line811;
18.num1:=i;
18.name:=change(proc(.i.).name);
            18. num2: =i;
18. num3: =succ(i);
            19.num:=pred(i);
110.num:=pred(i);
111.num:=pred(i);
```

```
write811:
       end;
       line1218:
       112. n:=succ(b);
       write1218:
end;
       (* creatérul∈ *)
begin
       rewrite(lib4, 'name=lib4.pascal.a1');
rewrite(user, 'name=user.pascal.a1');
t:=1; ext(.t.):='
for i:=1 to ax do
       begin
              pan:=alt(.i.).name;
p1:=alt(.i.).bbeqin;
p2:=alt(.i.).bend;
p3:=alt(.i.).yesno;
if(p1=0) then creat
if(p1>0) then creat
                                            createfun (pan);
                                            createrule(pan, p1, p2);
       end;
for i:=1 to px do
              if (proc (.i.) . yesno=1) then continue; if (proc (.i.) . ptype=6) then continue; if (proc (.i.) . name='query') then qq:=i;
               pan:=proc(.i.).name;
              p1:=proc(.i.).abegin;
p2:=proc(.i.).aend;
if(p1>0) then altbody(pan,p1,p2);
       end;
       for i:=1 to px do
       hegin
              if
              if (proc (.i.) . yesno=1)
if (proc (.i.) . ptype=6)
                                                       then continue;
                                                         then continue:
              pan:=proc(.i.).hame;
p1:=proc(.i.).bbegin;
p2:=proc(.i.).bend;
if((p1=0) and (proc(.i.).relativity=0))
                              createfun (pan);
                   then
       end;
for i:=1 to rx do
       tegin
               if (proc (.i.).yesno=1) then continue; if (proc (.i.).ptype=6) then continue;
              pan:=proc(.i.).name;
p1:=proc(.i.).bbegin;
p2:=proc(.i.).bend;
if(p1>0) then createrule(pan,p1,p2);
       end;
       takelib0
       takelib2
       takelib1;
       takelib4;
       takelib3
           (*create pascal*)
end:
```

```
t ∈ gin
   cms('exec e',ret);
datetime(date,time);
message(' miniprolog npgs
                                                     '||str(date)
                                             1)
     str
   | str(time),0);
message('',0);
checktokens;
message('',0);
if not(tokenerror)
    lexicalanalyzer
                            then
    else
   begin
message ('compilation terminated due to user errors.',0);
         message
('virtual compilation time:in microseconds',-clock);
         retcode (-1);
         halt:
    end;
    if not(lexerror) then
    begin
         createarrays; if (defined and not (recursive) and query) then
         begin
message
('no compiler detected errors..source lines',-line);
              createpascal;
         end
         else
         tegin
              message
('compilation terminated due to user errors.',0);
              retcode(-1);
              halt;
         end
    end
    else
    begin
message ('compilation terminated due to user errors.',0);
         retcode (-1):
         halt:
    end:
         message
('virtual compilation time; in microseconds', -clcck);
         retcode(0);
end.
```

APPENDIX C OBJECT PROGRAM

```
program user (input, output) :
ccnst
       rempty=false;
aempty=false;
tempty=false;
px=48;
       ax = 44;

tx = 235;
       gg=45;
type
       procrec=record
               rulenum: integer;
               relnum:integer;
name:alpha;
               ptype:integer; (* 4..6 *)
relativity:integer;
pointer1:integer;
pointer2:integer;
bbegin:integer;
bend:integer;
abegin:integer;
               abegin: integer;
               aend:integer:
               vesno: integer:
               callee:integer;
               as:integer;
               ae:integer;
               now:integer;
       end;
       parrec=record
               rulenum:integer; relnum:integer;
               name:alpha;
               ptype:integer;
locality:integer;
pointer:integer;
                                                      (* 7..10. *)
               ntype: integer;
               nbind:integer;
               nmatch:bcclean;
               who:integer;
       end:
var
       tracefile, term, procfile, paramfile,
listing, altfile, user, parfile: text;
trace2: text;
       proc:array(.1..px.) of procrec;
par:array(.1..tx.) of parrec;
alt:array(.1..ax.) of procrec;
cpt:array(.1..tx.) of alpha;
sign:char;cx,ret,lnum:integer;resatisfy:boolean;
```

```
procedure cms(const parmstr:string; var rc:integer);
                 external;
procedure writeit;
var i:integer;
begin
                 for i:=1 to tx do
                 tegin
                                write(tracefile,i:2,'.');
write(tracefile,par(.i.).rulenum:4);
write(tracefile,par(.i.).relnum:4);
write(tracefile,'':4);
write(tracefile,par(.i.).name:12);
write(tracefile,par(.i.).ptype:4);
write(tracefile,par(.i.).locality:4);
write(tracefile,par(.i.).pointer:4);
write(tracefile,par(.i.).ntype:4);
                                write (tracefile, par (.i.) . htype:4);
write (tracefile, par (.i.) . nbind:4);
write (tracefile, par (.i.) . nmatch:5);
write (tracefile, par (.i.) . who:4);
writeln (tracefile);
                 end:
                 writeln(tracefile);
for i:=1 to rx do
                                write(trace2, i:2, '.');
write(trace2, proc(i.) rulenum:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) relnum:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) name:12);
write(trace2, proc(i.) ptype:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) relativity:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) pointer1:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) pointer2:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) begin:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) abegin:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) abegin:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) abegin:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) abegin:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) as:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) ae:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) ae:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) now:3);
write(trace2, proc(i.) now:3);
                 begin
                                 writeln(trace2):
                     end
                     writeln(trace2);
end:
```

```
procedure message(const msg:string;valint:integer);
var term:text;
begin
    termout(term);
    if (valint>0) then
    hegin
        writeln(term,valint:3,str('. ')||msg);
    end
    else if (valint=0) then
    begin
        writeln(term,msg);
        lnum:=succ(lnum);
        writeln(listing,msg);
    end
    else
    begin
        writeln(term,msg,(-valint));
end;
close(term);
end;
```

```
procedure putfiles;
latel al.a2;
var i,f:intéger;
                      pp:char;
begin
                    if tempty then goto a1;
reset(paramfile);
for i:=1 to tx do
                     begin
                                        read (paramfile, f);
read (paramfile, pp);
read (paramfile, par (.i.) .rulenum);
read (paramfile, par (.i.) .relnum);
read (paramfile, par (.i.) .name);
read (paramfile, par (.i.) .ptype);
read (paramfile, par (.i.) .locality);
read (paramfile, par (.i.) .pointer);
read (paramfile, par (.i.) .ntype);
readln (paramfile, par (.i.) .nbind);
par (.i.) .rmatch:=false;
                                          par (.i.) .rmatch:=false;
                    end;
if pempty then goto a2;
reset(procfile);
for i:=1 to px do
a 1:
                     tegin
                                        read (procfile,f);
read (procfile,pp);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).rulenum);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).relnum);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).name);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).ptype);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).relativity);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).pointer1);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).pointer2);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).bbeqin);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).bend);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).abeqin);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).aend);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).aend);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).as);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).ae);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).ae);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).ae);
read (procfile,proc(.i.).ae);
                                          read (procfile,f)
                     end;
                    if aempty then return; reset(altfile); for i:=1 to ax do tegin
a 2:
                                       read (altfile,f);
read (altfile,pp);
read (altfile,alt(.i.).rulenum);
read (altfile,alt(.i.).relnum);
read (altfile,alt(.i.).name);
read (altfile,alt(.i.).ptype);
read (altfile,alt(.i.).relativity);
read (altfile,alt(.i.).relativity);
                                        read (altfile, alt(.i.) relativity read (altfile, alt(.i.) pointer1); read (altfile, alt(.i.) pointer2); read (altfile, alt(.i.) bbegin); read (altfile, alt(.i.) bend); read (altfile, alt(.i.) abegin); read(altfile, alt(.i.) aend);
                      end:
 end:
                          (*putfiles *)
```

```
procedure resetit(a:integer);
begin
    if((a-1)=qq) then return;
        rroc(a.).as:=proc(.a.).abegin;
        proc(a.).ae:=proc(.a.).abegin;
        if(proc(.a.).as<>0) then return;
        proc(.a.).as:=1;
        proc(a.).as:=1;
        proc(a.).ae:=1;
        proc(a.).ae:=1;
        proc(a.).now:=1;
end; (*resetit *)
function possible(a:integer):boolean;
var a1,a2,a3:boolean;
begin
    a1:=false;
    a2:=false;
    a3:=false;
    a1:=(proc(.a.).now<=proc(.a.).ae);
    if(proc(.a.).rtype=6) then a2:=true;
    if(resatisfy) then a3:=true;
    possible:=a1 or a2 or a3;
end; (*possible*)</pre>
```

```
procedure break(a:integer);
latel a1;
var i,j,k,call,asta,afin,pbeg,pend:integer;
begin
        if
             tempty then return;
        if (proc(.a.).ptype=4) then if (proc(.a.).ptype=6) then
                                                   then return:
        begin
               pbeg:=proc(.a.).pointer1;
pend:=proc(.a.).pointer2;
goto a1;
        end;
        if (proc(.a.).callee>0) then
        regin
               call:=proc(.a.).callee;
asta:=proc(.call.).pointer1;
afin:=prcc(.call.).pointer2;
        end
        else
        begin
               call:=prcc(.a.).now;
call:=pred(call);
asta:=proc(.call.).pointer1;
afin:=proc(.call.).pointer2;
        end;
       pheg:=proc(.a.).pointer1;
pend:=proc(.a.).pointer2;
for i:=asta tc afin do
        hegin
               if (i=0) then continue; if (par (.i.) .who<>i) then continue;
               par(.i.) . rmatch: =false;
                if (par (.i.) .ptype=1) then
               begin
                       par (.i.) .ntype:=0;
par (.i.) .nbind:=0;
               end:
        end:
a 1:
        j:=qq;
j:=proc(.j.).hend;
j:=proc(.j.).pointer2;
for i:=pbeg to pend do
        tegin
               if (i=0) then continue;
if (par (.i.).who<>i) then continue;
par (.i.).match:=false;
                if (par (.i.) .ptype=1) then
                begin
                        par (.i.) .ntype:=0;
par (.i.) .nbind:=0;
for k:=pbeg to j
                                                to j do
                        begin
                                if (k=0) then continue;
if (par(.k.).who<>k) then continue;
if (par(.k.).ptype<>1) then continue;
if (par(.k.).name<>par(.i.).name)
then continue;
                                      then continue;
                               par(.k.) -nmatch:=false;
par(.k.) -ntype:=0;
par(.k.) -ntind:=0;
                        end:
               end:
        end:
end; (*break*)
```

```
function analyze
    (a:integer; var re,le:integer):boolean;
f,l,j,m:integer;
tf:boolean;
     nset:set of
                     1..4:
begin
     f:=proc(.a.).rointer1;
l:=proc(.a.).rointer2;
nset:=(..);
for j:=f to 1 do
kegin
           if (j=0) then continue;
          nset:=nset+(.par(.j.).locality.);
     end;
     for
          j:=1 to (1-f+1) do
     begin
          if(j in nset) then continue;
m:=j;
          leave:
     end;
le:=0;
     analyze:=true;
for j:=f to 1 do
kegin
          if (j=0) then continue; if (par (.j.).locality<m) then le:=succ(le); if (par (.j.).locality>m) then leave;
     end;
     re:=0;
for j:=f to 1 do
    if (par (.j.).locality>m) then re:=succ(re);
assert { (le=1) or {le=3} };
assert { (re=1) or {re=3} };
if ((le=1) and (re=1)) then
     tegin
          end; if ([le=1) and (re=3)) then
     tegin
          end; if ((le=3) and (re=1)) then
          end; if ((le=3) and (re=3)) then
          end; if then
analyze:=false;
end; (* analyze *)
```

```
function is (a, k:integer):boolean;
var l,f,i,j,pb,pe:integer;
begin
         f:=proc(.a.).pointer1;
1:=succ(î);
par(.f.).ntype:=par(.l.).ntype;
par(.f.).nbind:=par(.l.).nbind;
par(.f.).nmatch:=true;
         is:=true;
if(succ(1)>tx) then return;
if(succ(a)>px) then return;
         if (Succ (a) > px, then
j:=qq;
pb:=succ (a);
pb:=proc(.pb.).pointer1;
pe:=proc(.j.).bend;
pe:=proc(.pe.).pointer2;
for i:=pb to pe do
         tegin
                  if (i=0) then continue;
if (par (.i.) . name <> par (.f.) . name)
then continue;
then continue;
                  if (par (.i.).ptype<>1) then continue;
par (.i.).ntype:=par (.f.).ntype;
par (.i.).nbind:=par (.f.).nbind;
par (.i.).who:=par (.f.).who;
end; (*is*)
function eval(op1:real;op:integer;op2:real):real;
begin
         case op of
7:eval:=op1+cp2;
8:eval:=op1-cp2;
9:eval:=op1*cp2;
          10:eval:=op1/cp2;
         end:
end;
function doreal(p:alpha):real:
var
         num:real:
begin
      readstr (str (p), num);
       doreal:=num:
end;
```

```
if not (analyze(a,re,le)) then
       begin
              lessthan:=false; return;
       end;
       f:=proc(.a.).pointer1:
l:=proc(.a.).pointer2;
if((re=1) and (le=1))
            if((par(.f.).ntype<>2) or (par(.l.).ntype<>2))
then begin
  lessthan:=false;return;
            end;
            p1:=par (.f.).nbind;
pa:=par (.f.).name;
p2:=par (.l.).nbind;
pb:=par (.p2.).name;
lessthan:=(doreal(pa) < doreal(pb));</pre>
       return; end; if ([re=1) and (le=3)) then
       tegin
              p1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.r1.).name;
p2:=par(.1-2.).nbind;
              pb:=par(.r2.).name;
p3:=par(.l.).nbind;
pc:=par(.r3.).name;
op1:=par(.l-1.).ptype;
              lessthan:=
              doreal(pa) <eval(doreal(pb),op1,doreal(pc));</pre>
              return; end;
       if (fre=3) and fre=1) then
       tegin
             p1:=par (.f.).nbind;
pa:=par (.f.).name;
p2:=par (.f.).nbind;
pb:=par (.f.).name;
op1:=par (.f.).nbind;
p3:=par (.l.).nbind;
pc:=par (.r3.).name;
lessthan:=
      return; end; if ((re=3) and (le=3)) then tegin
              eval (doreal (pa), op 1, doreal (pb)) < doreal (pc);
              p1:=par (.f.).nbind;
pa:=par (.fl.).name;
p2:=par (.f.2.).nbind;
             end: (* lessthan*)
```

```
function notequal(a,k:integer):boolean;
var re,le,f,l,p1,r2,p3,p4:integer;
    pa,pb,pc,pd:alpha;op1,cp2:integer;
begin
         if
              not (analyze (a, re, le)) then
         tegin
                 notequal:=false:return:
         end;
         f:=proc(.a.).rointer1:
l:=proc(.a.).rointer2;
if((re=1) and (le=1))
                                                          then
         tegin
                     ((par(.f.).ntype<>2)
(par(.1.).ntype<>2))
                                                                   or
                                                                   then
                begin
                           notequal:=false:return:
               end;
               p1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.f.).name;
p2:=par(.l.).nbind;
pb:=par(.f2.).name;
notequal:=(doreal(pa)<>doreal(pb));
         return; end; if ((re=1) and (le=3)) then
         hegin
                 p1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.f.).name;
p2:=par(.1-2.).nbind;
                 pb:=par(.1-2.).name;
pb:=par(.1.).nbind;
pc:=par(.1.).nbind;
pc:=par(.1-1.).ptype;
notegual:=
                  doreal(pa) <>eval(doreal(pb),op1,doreal(pc));
                 return; end;
         if ((re=3) and (le=1)) then
         tegin
                 p1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.fl.).name;
p2:=par(.f+2.).nbind;
pb:=par(.f2.).name;
op1:=par(.f+1.).ptype;
p3:=par(.l.).nbind;
pc:=par(.f3.).name;
                 pc:=par(.r3.).name;
notequal:=
                  eval (doreal (pa), op 1, doreal (pb)) <>doreal (pc);
                 return:
         end
         if ([re=3) and (le=3)) then
         hegin
                 p1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.fl.).name;
p2:=par(.f+2.).nbind;
pb:=par(.f+1.).ptype;
p3:=par(.f-2.).nbind;
pc:=par(.f.3.).name;
                 p3:=par(.1-2.).nbind;
pc:=par(.73.).name;
p4:=par(.1.).nbind;
pd:=par(.74.).name;
op2:=par(.1-1.).ptype;
notequal:=eval(doreal(pa).op1,doreal(pb))<>
eval(doreal(pc).op2,doreal(pd));
                 return:
         end;
end: (*
                 notequal *)
```

```
function greatequal(a,k:integer):boolean;
var re,le,f,l,p1,r2,p3,p4:integer;
pa,pb,pc,pd:alpha;op1,op2:integer;
begin
                     if not (analyze (a,re,le)) then
                     begin
                                         greatequal:=false:return:
                     end;
                     f:=proc(.a.).rointer1;
l:=proc(.a.).rointer2;
if((re=1) and (le=1))
                                                                                                                                    then
                     begin
                                          if ({par (.f.).ntype<>2) | par (.1.).ntype<>2) |
                                                                                                                                                               OI
                                                                                                                                                                     then
                                         begin
                                                              greatequal:=false;return;
                                    end:
                                     p1:=par (.f.) .nbind;
                                    pa: =par(.p1.).name;
p2:=par(.l.).nbind;
pb:=par(.p2.).name;
greatequal:=(doreal(pa)>=doreal(pb));
                    return; end; if ((re=1) and (le=3)) then
                    begin
                                         p1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.fl.).name;
p2:=par(.l-2.).nbind;
                                         pb:=par(.r2.).name;
p3:=par(.l.).nbind;
                                        pc:=par(.p3.).name;
pc:=par(.p3.).name;
op1:=par(.l-1.).ptype;
greatequal:=
doreal(pa)>=eval(doreal(pb).op1.doreal(pc));
return;end;
                    if ((re=3) and (le=1)) then
                    regin
                                        p1:=par (.f.).nbind;
pa:=par (.f.).name;
p2:=par (.f+2.).nbind;
pb:=par (.f+2.).name;
op1:=par (.f+1.).ptype;
p3:=par (.l.).nbind;
pc:=par (.t3.).name;
                                         pc:=par(.r3.).name;
                                        greatequal:='
eval (doreal (pa) , op 1, doreal (pb) ) >=doreal (pc);
                                         return:
                    end; if ((re=3) and (le=3)) then begin
                                         p1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.fl.).name;
p2:=par(.fl.).nbind;
                                       p2:=par(.f+2.).nbind;
pb:=par(.f2.).name;
op1:=par(.f+1.).ptype;
p3:=par(.1-2.).nbind;
pc:=par(.f3.).name;
p4:=par(.l.).nbind;
pd:=par(.f4.).name;
op2:=par(.f4.).name;
op2:
                                         return; end;
                       (* greatequal *)
 end:
```

```
function lessequal(a,k:integer):boolean;
var re,le,f,l,p1,r2,p3,p4:integer;
pa,pb,pc,pd:alpha;op1,op2:integer;
tegin
        if not (analyze (a,re,le)) then
        hegin
               lessequal:=false:return:
        end;
        f:=proc(.a.).pointer1;
1:=proc(.a.).pointer2;
if((re=1) and (le=1)) then
        begin
                    ((par(.f.).ntype<>2)
(par(.1.).ntype<>2))
                                                              OI
                                                             then
               begin
                       lessequal:=false:
                       return:
             end;
             p1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.p1.).name;
p2:=par(.l.).nbind;
pb:=par(.p2.).name;
lessequal:=(doreal(pa)<=doreal(pb));
        return; end;
if ([re=1]) and (le=3)) then
        begin
               p1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.r1.).name;
p2:=par(.1-2.).nbind;
               pb:=par(.r2.).name;
p3:=par(.l.).nbind;
pc:=par(.p3.).name;
op1:=par(.l-1.).ptype;
lessequal:=
               dorea1(pa) <=eval (doreal(pb), op1, doreal(pc));</pre>
        return; end; if ((re=3) and (le=1)) then
        tegin
               np1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.rl.).name;
p2:=par(.rl.).nbind;
pb:=par(.r2.).name;
op1:=par(.f+1.).ptype;
p3:=par(.l.).nbind;
pc:=par(.r3.).name;
lessequal:=
eval(doreal(pa),op1,doreal(pb)) <=doreal(rc);
return:end;</pre>
        return; end; if ((re=3) and (le=3)) then
        hegin
               eval(doreal(pc),op2,doreal(pd));
        return; end: (* lessequal *)
end:
```

```
begin
        if
           not (analyze (a, re, le)) then
        tegin
              greater: =false; return:
        end;
       f:=proc(.a.).rointer1;
l:=proc(.a.).rointer2;
if([re=1) and (le=1)) then
        tegin
if ((par (.f.).ntype<>2)
(par (.1.).ntype<>2))
                                                                                        CI
              then
              begin
                     greater:=false;
                     return:
             end;
            p1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.p1.).name;
p2:=par(.l.).nbind;
pb:=par(.p2.).name;
greater:=(doreal(pa)>doreal(pb));
       return; end; if ((re=1) and (le=3)) then
       hegin
              p1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.r1.).name;
p2:=par(.1-2.).nbind;
pb:=par(.r2.).name;
p3:=par(.l.).nbind;
pc:=par(.p3.).name;
op1:=par(.l-1.).ptype;
              greater:=
              doreal(pa)>eval(doreal(pb),op1,doreal(pc));
              return; end;
        if ((re=3) and (le=1)) then
        tegin
              p1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.fl.).name;
p2:=par(.f+2.).nbind;
pb:=par(.f+1.).nbind;
op1:=par(.f+1.).ptype;
p3:=par(.l.).nbind;
pc:=par(.f.).name;
greater:=
              greater:=
              eval (doreal (pa) , op 1, doreal (pb) ) > doreal (pc) ;
       return; end; if ((re=3) and (le=3)) then
        hegin
              return; end;
 end;
         (* greater *)
```

```
function equal(a,k:integer):boolean;
var re,le,f,l,p1,r2,p3,p4:integer;
pa,pb,pc,pd:alpha;op1,op2:integer;
begin
         if not(analyze(a,re,le)) then
         begin
                 equal:=false;return;
        end;
        f:=proc(.a.).rointer1:
l:=proc(.a.).rointer2;
if((re=1) and (le=1))
                                                        then
         regin
               p1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.rl.).name;
p2:=par(.l.).nbind;
pb:=par(.r2.).name;
if((par(.f.).ntype=2) and (par(.l.).ntype=2))
then equal:=(doreal(pa)=doreal(pb))
               else
               if((par(.f.).ntype=3) and (par(.l.).ntype=3))
then equal:=(pa=pb)
else equal:=false;
        return; end; if ((re=1) and (le=3)) then
        begin
                 p1:=par(.f.).nbind;
pa:=par(.p1.).name;
p2:=par(.1-2.).nbind;
                 pb:=par(.1-2.).nbind;
pb:=par(.1.).nbind;
pc:=par(.1.).name;
op1:=par(.1-1.).ptype;
equal:=doreal(pa)
                               =e val (doreal (pb), op1, doreal (pc));
         return; end; if ((re=3) and (le=1)) then
        hegin
                 p1:=par (.f.).nbind;
pa:=par (.f.).name;
p2:=par (.f+2.).nbind;
pb:=par (.f.2.).name;
op1:=par (.f.1.).net;
                 p3:=par(.1.).nbind;
pc:=par(.r3.).name;
equal:=eval(doreal(pa),op1,doreal(pb))
                              =dcreal(pc);
         return; end; if ((re=3) and (le=3)) then
         tegin
                 p1:=par (.f.).nbind;
pa:=par (.f.).name;
p2:=par (.f.).nbind;
pb:=par (.f.).name;
op1:=par (.f.).nbind;
p3:=par (.f.).nbind;
pc:=par (.f.).name;
                 pc:=par(.r3.).name;
p4:=par(.1.).nbind;
                 return; end; (* equal *)
end:
```

```
function match(a,i:integer):boolean;
var pbeg,pend,lim1,lim2,asta,afin,call:integer;
procedure matchit(asta,pbeg,pend:integer);
var i, j:integer;
begin
        j:=pred(asta);
for i:=pbeg tc pend do
         begin
                if (i=0) then continue;
j:=succ(j);
if (j=0) then continue;
par (.i.) .rmatch
:=((par(.i.) .ntype=par(.j.) .ntype) and
(par(.i.) .nbind=par(.j.) .nbind));
        end;
end; (*matchit*)
procedure bindprcc(asta, pbeg, pend:integer);
var i,j,k:integer;
begin
        j:=pred(asta);
for i:=pbeg tc pend do
hegin
                 if (i=0) then continue;
                 j:=succ(j);
if (j=0) then continue;
if (par(.i.).ntype=0) then
                 begin
                         par (.i.) .ntype:=par (.j.) .ntype;
par (.i.) .nbind:=par (.j.) .nbind;
par (.i.) .who:=i;
for k:=pbeg to pend do
                          begin
                                  if (k=0) then continue; if (par(.k.).ntype>0) then continue; if (par(.k.).name<>par(.i.).name)
                                   then continue;
                                  par (.k.) .ntype:=par (.i.) .ntype;
par (.k.) .nbind:=par (.i.) .nbind;
par (.k.) .who:=par (.i.) .who;
                         end:
                 end:
        end:
end: (*bindproc*)
```

```
function checkit: toolean;
var i,j:integer; bool:boolean;
begin
       bool:=true;
       for i:=pbeg to pend do
       tegin
               if (i=0), then continue;
              bool:= (bool and par (.i.) .nmatch);
       end; if bool then
       regin
               checkit: =true;
               return;
       end
       else checkit:=false;
for i:=pbeg to pend do
       hegin
              if (i=0) then continue;
if (par (.i.).ptype<>1) then continue;
if (par (.i.).who<>i) then continue;
par (.i.).ntype:=0;
par (.i.).nbind:=0;
par (.i.).nmatch:=false;
       end;
for i:=asta tc afin do
       tegin
              if (i=0) then continue;
if (par (.i.).ptype<>1) then continue;
if (par (.i.).who<>i) then continue;
par (.i.).ntype:=0;
par (.i.).nbind:=0;
par (.i.).rmatch:=false;
end; (* checkit *)
```

```
tegin
      afin:=0;
      asta:=0:
      pbeg:=proc(.a.).pointer1;
pend:=proc(.a.).pointer2;
call:=proc(.a.).callee;
if (call=0) then
      begin
            if not (aempty) then
            begin
                   asta:=alt(.i.).pointer1;
afin:=alt(.i.).pointer2;
            en d
      end
      else
      tegin
            asta:=proc(.call.).pointer1;
afin:=proc(.call.).pointer2;
      end;
      if (pend=pbeg) then
  lim1:=1
      else
   lim1:=pend-pbeg+1;
if(afin=asta) then
if(afin=asta)
      €lse
           lim2:=afin-asta+1;
      if ((pbeg=0)
                         and (pend=0)) then
           lim 1:=0;
      if ([asta=0]
                         and (afin=0))then
      if(lim1<>lim2) then
      hegin
            match:=false;
            return:
    end; if((lim1=0) and (lim2=0)) then
    begin
           match:=true;
           return;
    end;
    bindproc(asta, pbeg, pend);
bindproc(pbeg, asta, afin);
    matchit (asta, rheg, pend);
matchit (pheg, asta, afin);
if checkit then
    begin
           match := true;
rulebind;
           return:
    end;
    match:=false;
end: (* match *)
```

```
function findit (r:alpha):bcolean;
var i:integer:
tegin
       findit:=false:
       for i:=1 to cx do
       tegin
              if (p=cpt(.i.)) then
              begin
                      findit:=true;
                      return:
              end:
       end:
end:
procedure print;
var i,k,kj,pbeg,pend,pb,pe:integer;
begin
       if tempty then return;
cx:=1; cpt(.cx.):=' ';
rb:=proc(.qq.).bbeqin;
pe:=proc(.qq.).bend;
pbeq:=proc(.rt.).pointer1;
pend:=proc(.rt.).pointer2;
if(pend=0) then
       tegin
              kj:=0;
              répeat
              kj:=succ(kj);
pend:=proc(.pe-kj.).pointer2;
until (pend>0);
       end;
       for i:=pbeg to pend do
       tegiņ
              if (i=0) then continue; if (par (.i.).ptype<>1) then continue; k:=par (.i.).nbind; if (not (findit (par (.i.).name))) then
              begin
                     message(str(par(.i.).name)||
str(' = ')||str(par(.k.).name),0);
cx:=succ(cx);
                      cpt(.cx.) := par (.i.) . name;
              end:
       end:
end:
```

```
function wp fuel1(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_fuel1:=match(a,i);
end;
function wp_fuel2(a,i:integer):boolean;
    wp_fuel2:=match(a,i):
end;
function wp_fuel3(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_fuel3:=match(a,i);
function wp_fuel4(a,i:integer):boolean;
tegin
    wp_fuel4:=match(a,i):
end;
function wp_fuel5(a,i:integer):boolean;
tegin
    wp_fuel5:=match(a,i):
end;
function wp_fuel6(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_fuel6:=match(a,i):
end:
function wp_fuel7(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp fuel7:=match(a,i);
end; function wp_fuel8(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_fuel8:=match(a,i);
end;
function wp_fuel9(a,i:integer):boolean;
    wp_fuel9:=match(a,i);
end:
```

```
function wp ammo 10 (a, i:integer):boolean:
begin
    wp_ammo10:=match(a,i);
end;
function wp ammo11(a,i:integer):boolean:
begin
    wp_ammo11:=match(a,i);
end;
function wp_ammo12(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_ammo12:=match(a,i):
end:
function wp_ammo13(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_ammo13:=match(a,i):
end:
function wp_ammo14(a,i:integer):boolean;
b ∈gin
    wp_ammo14:=match(a,i);
end;
function wp_ammo15(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_ammo15:=match(a,i):
end:
function wp_ammo16(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_ammo16:=match(a,i):
end;
function wp_ammo17(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_ammo17:=match(a,i);
end;
function wp_ammo18(a,i:integer):boolean;
    wp_ammo18:=match(a,i);
end:
function wp_ammo19(a,i:integer):boolean;
k ∈gin
    wp_ammo19:=match(a,i):
end:
function wp_ammo20(a,i:integer):boolean;
    wp_ammo20:=match(a,i);
end:
```

```
function wp ammo21(a,i:integer):boolean:
begin
    wp_ammo21:=match(a,i);
end;
function wp_ammo22(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_ammo22:=match(a,i):
end;
function wp_ammo23(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_ammo23:=match(a,i);
end:
function wp_ammo24(a,i:integer):boolean;
b∈gin
    wp_ammo24:=match(a,i);
end:
function wp_ammo25(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_ammo25: =match(a,i);
end;
function wp ammo 26 (a, i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_ammo26: =match(a,i);
end:
function wp_ammo27(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_ammo27:=match(a,i):
end:
function wp_ammo28(a,i:integer):boolean;
tegin
    wp_ammo28:=match(a,i);
end;
function wp_ammo29(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_ammo29: =match(a,i):
end:
function wp_ammo30(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp ammo30:=match(a,i);
end:
function wp_ammo31(a,i:integer):boolean;
    wp_ammo31:=match(a,i);
end:
```

```
function wp num32(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_num32:=match(a,i):
end:
function wp num33(a,i:integer):boolean:
tegin
    wp num33:=match(a,i):
end;
function wp_num34(a,i:integer):boolean;
    wp_num34:=match(a,i);
end:
function wp_num35(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp num 35 := match(a,i);
end:
function wp num36(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_num36:=match(a,i);
end;
function wp_num37(a,i:integer):boolean;
    wp_num37:=match(a,i);
end:
function wp num38(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_num38:=match(a,i):
end:
function wp num39(a,i:integer):boolean;
    wp_num 39:=match(a,i);
end;
function wp_num40(a,i:integer):boolean;
b∈gin
    wp_num40:=match(a,i);
end:
function wp_num41(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_num41:=match(a,i):
€nd:
function wp_num42(a,i:integer):boolean;
tegin
    wp_num42:=match(a,i);
end;
function wp num43(a,i:integer):boolean;
b∈gin
    wp num 43:=match(a,i):
end:
function wp_num44(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    wp_num44:=match(a,i);
end:
```

```
function wp_fuel(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin
    case i of
    1:wp_fuel:=wp_fuel1(a,i);
    2:wp_fuel:=wp_fuel2(a,i);
    3:wp_fuel:=wp_fuel3(a,i);
    4:wp_fuel:=wp_fuel4(a,i);
    5:wp_fuel:=wp_fuel5(a,i);
    6:wp_fuel:=wp_fuel6(a,i);
    7:wp_fuel:=wp_fuel6(a,i);
    7:wp_fuel:=wp_fuel8(a,i);
    8:wp_fuel:=wp_fuel8(a,i);
    9:wp_fuel:=wp_fuel9(a,i);
end;
end;
```

```
function wp_ammo (a,i:integer):boolean;
begin

case i of
10:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo10 (a,i);
11:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo11 (a,i);
12:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo13 (a,i);
13:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo14 (a,i);
14:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo15 (a,i);
16:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo16 (a,i);
17:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo17 (a,i);
18:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo18 (a,i);
19:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo10 (a,i);
21:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo20 (a,i);
22:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo21 (a,i);
22:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo21 (a,i);
22:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo22 (a,i);
22:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo24 (a,i);
22:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo25 (a,i);
22:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo27 (a,i);
22:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo27 (a,i);
23:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo27 (a,i);
23:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo27 (a,i);
23:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo27 (a,i);
23:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo30 (a,i);
33:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo30 (a,i);
33:wp_ammo:=wp_ammo31 (a,i);
end;
```

```
function wp_num(a,i:integer):boolean;
begin

case i of

32:wp_num:=wp_num32 (a,i);
33:wp_num:=wp_num33 (a,i);
34:wp_num:=wp_num34 (a,i);
35:wp_num:=wp_num35 (a,i);
36:wp_num:=wp_num36 (a,i);
37:wp_num:=wp_num37 (a,i);
38:wp_num:=wp_num38 (a,i);
39:wp_num:=wp_num39 (a,i);
40:wp_num:=wp_num40 (a,i);
41:wp_num:=wp_num41 (a,i);
42:wp_num:=wp_num42 (a,i);
43:wp_num:=wp_num43 (a,i);
44:wp_num:=wp_num44 (a,i);
end;
end;
```

```
function query (a i integer): boolean; label 46,47,48,45,99;
b∈giņ
       if resatisfy then begin break (48); goto 48; end; 46:if (accept (wp_fuel, 46, a)) then goto 47;
            goto 99:
            if (accept(wp_ammo, 47, a)) then goto 48; if not(possible(46)) then goto 99;
            break (46);
           if (accept (wp_num, 48, a)) then goto 49; if not (possible (47)) then goto 99; break (47); goto 47;
                      46
       goto 47;
49:1f okay(a) then
            begin
                   query:=true;
                   return:
            end;
       99:query:=false
end;
begin
      Inum:=0;
putfiles;
message(' execution begins...',0);
resatisfy:=false;
      sign:= Tiv
       while (sign=';') do
       begin
              if query (gq, 1) then
              begin
                    message(' yes',0);
print; termin(term);
                    readln(term, sign); cl
if(sign=';') then
resatisfy:=true
else resatisfy:=false;
if(lrum>50) then
                                                        close (term):
                     begin
                            lnum:=0:
                            writeln(listing, '1':1);
                     end;
                     if resatisfy then
                          cms('clrscrn', ret);
                    if resatisfy then
message(' resatisfying goal...',0)
else message(' execution ends...',0);
continue;
             message(str(' no'),0);
message(' execution ends....',0);
             halt:
end: (* main *)
```

APPENDIX D PROCEDURE TABLE

12714567890127145678901271456789012345678
123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345555
111111111111111111111111111111111111111
TITITITITITITICOCCOCOCOCOCCOCCOCOCOCOCOC
あちちあちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちち
16161616161616161616161616161616161616
50505050505050505050505050505050505050
000000000000000000000000000000000000000
000000000000000000000000000000000000000
000000000000000000000000000000000000000
000000000000000000000000000000000000000
111111111111111111111111111111111111111
71111111111111111111111111111111111111
111111111111111111111111111111111111111
11111111000000000000000000000000000000

APPENDIX E PARAMETERS TABLE

12345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890	11111222223333334444445555566666677777788888899999900000	35791357913579135791357913579135791	k 100c 00g 00l 00 0m 00		のとうというというというというというというというというというというというというとい	1234512345123451234512345123451234512345	111112222223333333444445555566666677777788888899999900000	32322323223223232323232323232323232323	123456289012345628901234562890123456239012345122220	

3579135791357913579135791357913579135791
2 k
1234512345123451234512345123451234512345
1222512220122256229062295122206222062225122201222 45 245 245 67 671 481 2281 227 2445 244 228 227 2445 244

1135791357913579135791357913579135791357	ahana aaanna ahana	01111112020203333333444444555556666677777788888899999900000 2222222222222222222222	712447124471 2441 2467 2245 424714248 20628206282512820 127 2245 424714248 227 227 245 127 245 127 245

1.111111222223333334444455555666667777788888899999990000 1.2333333333333333333333333333333333333
3 fac 5 1 7 1 9 4
123451234512845128451284512845128451284512845128
322223322223222232222322223222232222232222
12825122220122256229812222562220122225622290 16 246 621 471 228 18 12449122449 19 12468 18 12449 19 1249 19 1249 19 1249 19 1249 19 1249 19 1249 19 1249 19 1249 19 1249 19 1249 19 1249 19 1249

APPENDIX F ALTERNATIVE CLAUSES TABLE

1234567890123456789012345678901234 11111111111111111111111111111111111	12345678901234567890123456789012345678901234	12345678901 123456789112345678901 1234567891112345678901 14fffff100000000000000000000000000000	555555555555555555555555555555555555555	16	50505050505050505050505050505050505050	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	12345678901234567890123456789012345678901234	12345678901234567890123456789012345678901234	

APPENDIX G SAMPLE PROGRAM 1

APPENDIX H OUTPUT OF PROGRAM 1

```
EXECUTION BEGINS ....
y€s
                                        1
£2
250
1000
2
     WIYPE
WFTYPE
WFCAP
                              =
                              =
     WACAP
LX
LY
                              =
                              =
                              =
                                         ā4
     WATYPE
                              =
     MUNW
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
yes
     WTYPE
WFTYPE
WFCAP
WACAP
                                         1
                                        f2
250
1000
2
                              =
                              =
                              =
     LX
                              =
                              =
     WATYPE
WNUM
                                         ā3
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
y es
                                        2
f3
350
2000
33
     WIYPE
WFTYPE
WFCAP
WACAP
                              =
                              =
                              =
     LX
LY
WATYPE
WAUM
                              =
                                         a 6
12
                              =
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
y€s
                                         2
f3
350
2000
     WIYPE
WFIYPE
WFCAP
                              =
                              =
WACAP = LY = WATYPE = WNUM = RESATISFYING GOAL...
                                         a 3
12
nc
EXECUTION ENDS....
```

APPENDIX I SAMPLE PROGRAM 2

APPENDIX J OUTPUT OF PROGRAM 2

```
EXECUTION BEGINS....
y∈s
     WCLASS
WIYPE
                                          tk
1
                               =
                               =
                                          £1
500
5000
     WFTYPE
                               =
                               =
      WACAP
                               =
     LY
                                           3
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL...
                                          a 1
y €S
                                          tk
1
f1
5000
5000
      WCLASS
                               =
     WIYPE
WFIYPE
WFCAP
WACAP
                               =
                               =
                               =
                               =
     LX
                                           1
                               =
                                           3
                               =
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL...
                                           a2
yes
     WCLASS
WIYPE
                                           tk
                                          f 1
f 1
50000
                               =
     WFTYPE
WFCAP
WACAP
                               =
                               =
                               =
     LX
                               =
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL...
                                          a 1
y es
                                          tk
1
150000
5000
     WCLASS
WIYPE
WFTYPE
WFCAP
WACAP
                               =
                               =
                               =
                               =
     LX
                               =
                               =
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL....
                                          a 2
y€s
     FCLASS
WIYPE
WFTYPE
HFCAP
WACAP
LX
LY
                                          tk
1
f1
500
5000
                               =
                               =
                               =
                               =
                               =
                               =
      WATYPE
                               =
                                           a 1
```

```
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
yes
     WCLASS
                            =
                                      tk
                                      f 1
500
5000
53
     WIYPE
                            =
     WFTYPE
                            =
     WFCAP
                            =
     WACAP
                            =
     LX
                            =
                            =
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL....
                                      ã3
y ∈s
     WCLASS
                                      fac
                            =
     WIYPE
                            =
                                      £2
800
     WFTYPE
                            =
     WFCAP
WACAP
                            =
                            =
                                      4000
     LX
                            =
                                      1
                                      4
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL...
                                      a6
yes
     WCLASS
WIYPE
                                      fac
                                      1
f2
800
                            =
     WFTYPE
WFCAP
                            =
     WACAP
                                      4000
                            =
                                      1
     LX
                            =
     LY
                                      4
                            =
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL.
                                      a 3
y es
     WCLASS
WIYPE
WFTYPE
WFCAP
WACAP
                                      fac
1
f2
800
                            =
                            =
                            =
                                      4000
                            =
     ΙX
LY
                            =
                            =
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL.
                                      a6
yes
     WCLASS
WTYPE
WFTYPE
WFCAP
WACAP
LX
LY
                                      fac
1
f2
800
                            =
                            =
                            =
                            =
                                      4000
5
2
                            =
                            =
                            =
     HATYPE
                                      a 3
                            =
```

```
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
y∈s
                                          tk
2f4
600
5500
      WCLASS
WTYPE
                               =
                               =
      WFTYPE
      WFCAP
                               =
      WACAP
LX =
LY =
WATYPE =
RESATISFYING GOAL...
                                          a 1
y €S
                                          tk
2f4
600
5500
     WCLASS
WIYPE
WFIYPE
WFCAP
WACAP
                               =
                               =
      LΧ
                               =
      LY
                               =
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL...
                                          a3
yes
     WCLASS
WIYPE
WFTYPE
WFCAP
WACAP
LX
                                          tk
2f4
600
5500
                               =
                               =
                               =
                               =
                               =
                               =
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL...
                                          a 1
y es
     WCLASS
WIYPE
WFTYPE
WFCAP
WACAP
                                          atgm
25500
3500
                               =
                               =
                               =
                               =
      LΥ
                               =
                               =
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL..
                                          a5
yes
                                          atgm
3600
4500
5300
      WCLASS
                               =
      WIYPE
                               =
     WFTYPE
WFCAP
WACAP
                               =
      LX
                               =
                               =
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL...
                                          a 4
nc
EXECUTION ENDS....
```

APPENDIX K SAMPLE PROGRAM 3

APPENDIX L OUTPUT OF PROGRAM 3

```
EXECUTION BEGINS ....
yes
                                       1
f2
800
4000
     WIYPE
WFTYPE
WFCAP
WACAP
LX
LY
                            =
                             =
                            =
                            =
                            =
                                       4
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL...
                                       a6
y €S
     WIYPE
                                       1
                            =
     WFTYPE
WFCAP
WACAP
                                       £2
800
                            =
                            =
                                       4000
                            =
     LX
                            =
                                       4
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL...
                                       a3
y ∈s
                                       1
f2
800
4000
5
     WTYPE
WFTYPE
WFCAP
WACAP
                            =
                             =
                            =
     ΙX
                            =
                            =
WATYPE = RESATISFYING GOAL...
                                       a6
y ∈s
     WIYPE
HETYPE
WECAP
WACAP
LX
LY
                                       1
f2
800
                            =
                            =
                                       4000
5
2
                            =
                            =
                            =
     WATYPE
                                       ã3
                            =
RESATISFYING GOAL ...
nc
EXECUTION ENDS....
```

APPENDIX M SAMPLE PROGRAM 4

APPENDIX N CUTPUT OF PROGRAM 4

```
EXECUTION BEGINS....
 y€s
      WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
                                      tk
1
                                      13
      WATYPE
                                      a 1
                            =
      MUNW
                                      40
 RESATISFYING GOAL ....
 y €S
      WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
WATYPE
WNUM
                                      tk
                                      1
                                      3
                            =
                                      a 2
40
 RESATISFYING GOAL ....
 yes
      WCLASS
WTYPE
LX
                                      tk
1
2
3
                            =
                            =
      ΙŸ
                            =
                                      a 1
50
      WATYPE
      MUNW
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
 y es
                                      tk
1
      WCLASS
      WIYPE
LX
LY
                                      .
2
3
                                      a 2
50
      FATYPE
      MUNW
 RESATISFYING GOAL ....
 yes
      WCLASS
                                      fac
 WIYPE = LX = LY = WATYPE = FNUM = RESATISFYING GOAL...
                                      1
                                      4
                                      a 6
 y es
      WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
WATYPE
                                      fac
                            =
                            =
                                      1
                            =
                                      4
                            =
                                      a
5
                                        3
      WNUM
```

```
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
yes
    WCLASS
                        =
                                 atgm
    WTYPE
                        =
                                 113
    LX
                        =
                        =
    WATYPE
                                 a4
20
                        =
    MUNW
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
yes
    WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
                        =
                                 helo
                                 122
                        =
                        =
                        =
                                 ã 4
8
    WATYPE
                        =
    MUMW
RESATISFYING GOAL ...
yes
    HCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
WATYPE
WNUM
                        =
                                 helo
                        =
                                 122
                        =
                        =
                                 ā3
                        =
                        =
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
y∈s
                                 tk
233
    WCLASS
    WIYPE
LX
LY
                        =
                        =
    WATYPE WNUM
                                 a 1
28
                        =
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
yes
    WIYPE
LX
                                 tk
233
                        =
                        =
    ĪΫ
                        =
                                 a3
28
    WATYPE
                        =
    MUMW
                        =
RESATISFYING GOAL.
y €S
    WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
                                helo
                        =
                        =
                        =
                        =
                                 a6
12
    WATYPE
                        =
    MUNW
                        =
```

```
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
yes
    WCLASS
                                 helo
                         =
    WTYPE
LX
LY
                                 233
                        =
                        =
                                 ă3
12
    WATYPE
WNUM = RESATISFYING GOAL...
y es
                                 atgm
    WCLASS
    WIYPE
LX
LY
                        =
                        =
                                 a5
22
    WATYPE
                        =
    MUNH
RESATISFYING GOAL.
y ∈s
    WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
                                 fac
2
3
4
    WATYPE
                                 a 6
    MUNW
RESATISFYING GOAL ..
y es
    WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
                                 tk
223
                        =
                        =
                         =
                                 a 1
42
    WATYPE
WNUM = RESATISFYING GOAL.
y es
                                 atgm
    WCLASS
    WIYPE
LX
LY
                        =
                         =
    WATYPE
                                 a4
18
                        =
    MUNW
RESATISFYING GOAL.
y ∈s
    WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
                                 fac
1
5
2
                         =
                        =
                        =
                                 a6
     WATYPE
                        =
    MUNW
```

```
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
WCLASS
WTYPE
LX
LY
WATYPE
WNUM
                                      fac
1
5
                            =
                            =
                            =
                            =
                                      ā3
                            =
 RESATISFYING GOAL ....
YES
WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
LY
LY
                                      tk
1
5
                            =
                            =
                            =
      WATYPE
                            =
                                      a 1
 WNUM = RESATISFYING GOAL....
                                      48
 yes
     WCLASS
WTYPE
LX
LY
WATYPE
WNUM
                                      tk
1
5
3
                            =
                            =
                            =
                                      a 3
4 8
 RESATISFYING GOAL ....
nc
EXECUTION ENDS....
```

APPENDIX O

APPENDIX P OUTPUT OF FROGRAM 5

```
EXECUTION BEGINS....
y €S
    WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
                                  tk
1
1
3
                         =
                         =
                         =
                                  a 1
40
     WATYPE
                         =
     MUNW
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
y es
                                  tk
1
     WCLASS
     WIYPE
                         =
    ΪΧ̈́
                                  1
                         =
                                  3
                         =
                                  a2
40
     WATYPE
WNUM = RESATISFYING GOAL...
yes
    WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
                                  tk
1
                         =
                         =
                                  23
                         =
                                  a 1
50
     WATYPE
                         =
     MUNW
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
y es
    WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
                                  tk
1
2
3
                         =
                         =
                         =
                                  a 2
50
     WATYPE
                         =
     MUNW
RESATISFYING GOAL ..
yes
    WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
                                  fac
                         =
                                  1
                         =
                         =
                                  4
                                  a6
     WATYPE
     MUNW
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
y es
     WCLASS
WIYPE
                                  fac
                         =
                         =
     LX
                                  1
                         =
                         =
                                  ā 3
5
     WATYPE
                         =
     WNUM
                         =
```

```
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
yes
    WCLASS
WTYPE
                                  atgm
1
1
3
                         =
                         =
    ĽΧ
LΥ
                         =
                         =
                                  a 4
20
    WATYPE
                         =
WNUM = RESATISFYING GOAL...
y €S
     WCLASS
                                  helo
    WIYPE
LX
LY
                         =
                                  122
                         =
    WATYPE
                         =
                                  a4
                                  8
    MUNW
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
yes
    WCLASS
                                  helo
    WIYPE
LX
LY
WATYPE
                                  122
                         =
                                  a 3
                         =
HNUM = RESATISFYING GOAL.
                                  8
y ∈s
    HCLASS
WIYPE
                                  t k
2
3
                         =
                         =
    ĽΧ
                         =
    Ο
WATYPE
                         =
                                  a 1
28
WNUM =
RESATISFYING GOAL.
y es
                                  tk
233
     WCLASS
    WTYPE
LX
                         =
                         =
    LY
                         =
     WATYPE
                                  a3
28
                         =
    MUNH
                         =
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
yes
                                  helo
2
3
     WCLASS
    WIYPE
LX
LY
                         =
                         =
                         =
    WATYPE
WNUM
                                  a 6
12
                         =
                         =
```

```
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
y€s
    WCLASS
WTYPE
                                 helo
2
3
                        =
                        =
    ĽΧ
LΥ
                        =
                                 a 3
12
    WATYPE
WNUM = RESATISFYING GOAL...
yes
                                 atgm
    WCLASS
    WIYPE
LX
LY
                        =
                        =
                        =
    WATYPE
                                 a5
22
                        =
    MUNW
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
y €S
    WCLASS
WTYPE
LX
LY
                                 fac
2
3
4
                        =
                        =
    WATYPE
                        =
                                 a 6
8
    MUNW
                        =
RESATISFYING GOAL.
yes
    WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
                                 tk
2223
                        =
                        =
                        =
                        =
                                 a 1
4 2
    WATYPE
WNUM = RESATISFYING GOAL..
y €S
                                 atym
3
5
    WCLASS
    PTYPE
LX
LY
                        =
                        =
                        =
    WATYPE
                                 a 4
18
                        =
                        =
    MUNW
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
yes
    WCLASS
                                 fac
                        =
    WTYPE
LX
LY
                        =
                                 52
                        =
                        =
    WATYPE
                        =
                                 a 6
8
    WNUM
```

```
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
y∈s
WCLASS = WIYPE = LX = LY = WATYPE = WNUM = RESATISFYING GOAL...
                                            fac
1
5
2
a
8
y €S
YES
WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
WATYPE
WNUM
RESATISFYING GOAL..
                                            tk
153
a18
yes
      WCLASS
WIYPE
LX
LY
                                            tk
1
5
3
                                 =
                                 =
                                 =
                                            a3
48
      WATYPE
WNUM
RESATISFYING GOAL ....
nc
EXECUTION ENDS....
```

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Backus, J., "Can Programming Be Liberated from the von Neuman Style?", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 21, 8, pp. 613-615, August 1978.
- 2. Hoare, C. A. R., "The Emperor's Old Clothes", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 24, 2, pp. 37-38, February 1981.
- 3. Maclennan, B. J., <u>Functional Programming Methodology</u>, <u>Theory and Practice</u>, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, (unpublished lecture notes).
- 4. Moto-oka, T., etal, <u>Fifth Generation Computer Systems</u>, pp. 519-164, North-Holland Publishing Company, New York, 1982.
- 5. Shapiro, E. Y., "The Fifth Generation Project A Trip Report", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 26, 9, pp. 637-641, September 1983.
- 6. Warren, D. H. D., "Efficient Processing of Interactive Relational Database Queries", pp. 272-279, Department of Artificial Intelligence, University of Edinburgh, England.
- 7. Maclennan, B. J., <u>Principles of Programming Languages</u>, pp. 499-520, CBS College Publishing, New York, 1983.
- 8. Warren, D. H. D., "Logic Programming and Compiler Writing", pp. 121-124, Department of Artificial Intelligence, University of Edinburgh, England.
- 9. Warren, D. H. D., "PROLOG The Language and Its Implementation Compared with Lisp", pp. 109-115, Department of Artificial Intelligence, University of Edinburgh, England.
- 10. Bruynooghe, M., "The Memory Management of Prolog Implementations", pp. 83-85, Katholieke Universiteit, Heverlee, Belgium.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Barrett, W. A. and Couch, J. D., Compiler Construction: Theory and Practice, Science Research Associates, Inc., New York, 1979.
- Booch, G., Software Engineering with ADA, Cumming Publishing Company, 1983.
- Clocksin, W. F. and Mellish, C. S., <u>Programming in Prolog</u>, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- Hansson, A., etal, <u>Properties of a Logic Programming Language</u>, Computing Science Department, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.
- Hoare, C. A. R., <u>Hints on Programming Language Design</u>, SIGACT/SIGPLAN Symposium, Boston, 1973.
- Hoffman, M. C. and O'Donnel, M. J., "Programming with Equations", ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems, Vol. 4, 1, January 1982.
- Hogger, C. J., Concurrent Logic Programming, Department of Civil Engineering, Imperial College, London, England.
- Ince, D. C., "Module Interconnection Languages and Prolog", ACM_SIGPLAN_Notices, Vol. 19, 8, August 1984.
- Jensen, K. and Wirth, N., <u>Pascal User Manual and Report</u>, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- Kowalski, R., "Algorithm = Logic + Control", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 22, 7, July 1979.
- O'Keefe, R. A., "Prolog Compared with Lisp", ACM SIGPLAN Notices, Vol. 18, 5, May 1984.
- Pereira, L. and Porto, A., <u>Selective Backtracking</u>, <u>Departamento de Informatica</u>, <u>Universidada Nova de Lisboa</u>, <u>Portugal</u>.
- Rowe, L. A., "Programming Languages Issues for the 1980's", ACM SIGPLAN Notices, Vol. 19, 8, August 1984.
- Santane-Toth, E., etal, Prolog Applications in Hungary, Institute for Co-ordination of Computer Techniques, Budapest, Hungary.
- Takeuchi, I., etal, "TAO, A Harmonic Mean of Lisp, Prolog, and Smalltalk", ACM SIGPLAN Notices, Vol. 18, 7, July 1984.

Winograd, T., "Beyond Programming Languages", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 22, 7, July 1979.

Wise, M. J., "EPILOG = PROLOG + Dataflow", ACM SIGPLAN Notices, Vol. 17, 12, December 1982.

Zemrowski, K. M., "Differences Between ANS and ISO Standards for Pascal", ACM SIGPLAN Notices, Vol. 19, 8, August 1984.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No.	Copies
1.	Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22304-6145		2
2.	Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5100		2
3.	Ms. Debbie Walker, Code 0143C Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5100		1
4.	Ahmet Saraydin Ziyaurrahman Cad. Kelebek Sok. No: 25/8 Ankara, Turkey		2
5.	Computer Technology Programs Curricular Office, Code 37 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5100		1













Thesis

215201

Thesis S16661 c.1

Saraydin

An implementation in Pascal: translation of Prolog into Pascal.

210200

Thesis S16661

Saraydin

c.1

An implementation in Pascal: translation of Prolog into Pascal.



An implementation in Pascal: translatio

3 2768 000 68455 9

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY